



CRL OP(MD). No.13191 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 03/07/2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP(MD)No.13191 of 2026

Aravindh @ Aravindan

... Petitioner/Accused No.1

Vs

The State Rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Thevaram Police Station,
Theni District.
(Crime No.241 of 2026)

... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : M/s.P.Harivaishnave


For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.241/2026 on the file of the
Respondent Police.

1/6



CRL OP(MD). No.13191 of 2026


WEB COPY

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner/accused, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Section 296(b), 115(2) and 351(3) of BNS and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women (Amendment) Act, 2002, in Crime No.241 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, on 30.04.2026, the petitioner along with others had attacked the defacto complainant's father-in-law and when the same was questioned by her family members, the accused attacked them, abused them in filthy language and threatened them with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint has been registered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case. He has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that the injured was discharged from the hospital and no



CRL OP(MD), No.13191 of 2026

previous case is pending against the petitioner and he is ready to cooperate with the investigation. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned counsel for State (Crl. Side) reiterated the prosecution case and would submit that the offences committed by the accused are grave in nature and the investigation is still pending. Hence, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. He would further submit that the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital and no previous case is pending against the petitioner, I am of the view that custodial interrogation is not necessary in this case and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:



CRL OP(MD). No.13191 of 2026

WEB COPY

[a] Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Bodinayakkanur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks, and thereafter as and when required for the interrogation.

[c] the petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation.

[d] the petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.



CRL OP(MD), No.13191 of 2026

WEB COPY

[g] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

03.07.2026

Lm

TO

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Bodinayakkanur.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Thevaram Police Station,
Theni District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.13191 of 2026

K.RAJASEKAR,J.

Lm

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.13191 of 2026

Date : 03/07/2026