



CRL OP(MD). No.12871 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 30/06/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP(MD). No.12871 of 2026

1. M. Karthiga Priyadharshini

2. R. Ramprasath ... Petitioners/ Accused No.1&2

Vs

State of Tamilnadu Rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Paramathi Police Station,
Karur District.
Crime No.75/2026.

... Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.75/2026 on the file of the
Respondent Police.

For Petitioners : R.Mathiyalagan,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.P.Vetrivel,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

For Intervener : Mr.Ramasundaravijayaraj
Advocate.

ORDER

The petitioners apprehend arrest for the alleged offence under



CRL OP(MD). No.12871 of 2026

Sections 316(2), 316(3), 316(5), 318(4), 351(2) and 61(2) of BNS Act, 2023, in Crime No.75 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police seek anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners came forward with the promise of getting back the amount lost by the defacto complainant and, accordingly, collected a sum of Rs.15.98 lakhs. Subsequently, it came to know that they made a false promise. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioners already paid the amount by way of cash and even as per the FIR, only Rs.98,000/- was paid through G-pay. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions,



CRL OP(MD). No.12871 of 2026

reported that sofar no one has been arrested and no money was recovered and the investigation is at preliminary stage. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. The learned counsel for the intervener submitted that there was a direct nexus between all the accused and the petitioners herein, specifically approaching the defacto complainant with the promise of getting back money deposited by them in commodity trade and also collected a sum of Rs. 15.98 lakhs. A part of the amount was also paid through G-pay, and subsequently, they cheated the defacto complainant. Hence, he vehemently, opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. I have gone through the FIR and also the connected materials.

7. Perusal of the records reveals that major portion of the amount was paid through cash transactions and a part of the amount was already paid through G-pay transactions and all the transactions took place in the month of October 2024 and the custodial interrogation of the petitioners



CRL OP(MD). No.12871 of 2026

is not required in this case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Aravakuruchi**, on condition that **the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)**, each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioners shall report before the**



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.12871 of 2026

respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

30.06.2026

dss

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate, Aravakuruchi.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Paramathi Police Station, Karur District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

5/6



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.12871 of 2026

K. RAJASEKAR,J

DSS

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.12871 of 2026

Date : 30/06/2026