



CRL OP(MD). No.12846 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 30/06/2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE **MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR**

CRL OP(MD). No.12846 of 2026

1. Neelagandan,
S/o.Murugaraj,
No. 60(1), Police Line Street,
Thiruppuvanam,
Sivagangai District..

2. Mani Muthu,
S/o.Kalidas,
No. 8b,Kuppana Pillai Street,
Theradi Veethi,
Thiruppuvanam,
Sivagangai District..

... Petitioners/Accused

Vs

The State of Tamilnadu Rep BY,
The Inspector of Police,
Thiruppuvanam, Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
(Crime No.117/2026).

... Respondent/Complainant



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.12846 of 2026

For Petitioner : Mr.Karthick A,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian,
Counsel for State of TN (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-AB. For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.117 of 2026 on the file of the respondent Police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners/Accused, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, @ 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 109(1), 115(2) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.117 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 20.03.2026, during the Mariamman Temple Festival, the petitioners and other accused abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, assaulted him, caused injuries to him and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case.



CRL OP(MD). No.12846 of 2026

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent person, they were falsely implicated in this case and they are no way connected in the above said incident. He would further submit that the co-accused were already granted anticipatory bail by this Court. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Counsel for the State of TN (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent Police would submit that the investigation is pending and the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and the first petitioner has no previous cases. However, he opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. He would further submit that the second petitioner has not been arrayed as accused in this case.

5. Since the second petitioner has not been arrayed as accused in this case and no case is pending against the second petitioner, this Criminal Original Petition stands closed in respect of the second petitioner.

6. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



CRL OP(MD), No.12846 of 2026

WEB COPY

7. Considering the rival submissions on either side and the nature of offences charged against the petitioner and the petitioner has no previous cases and also considering the fact that the injured person has been discharged from the hospital and the co-accused were already granted anticipatory bail by this Court and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the first petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, this petition is partly allowed and the first petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned District Munsif Cum **Judicial Magistrate Court, Thiruppuvanam**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and on further conditions that:



CRL OP(MD). No.12846 of 2026

WEB COPY

[b] the first petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the first petitioner shall not commit any offences of similar nature.

[d] the first petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the first petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the first petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the first petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial



CRL OP(MD). No.12846 of 2026

WEB COPY

Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in

P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused/first petitioner thereafter absconds, a
fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(K R S J)
30.06.2026

vsg

TO

- 1.The learned District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate Court,
Thiruppuvanam.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Thiruppuvanam, Police Station,
Sivagangai District.
3. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.12846 of 2026

K. RAJASEKAR,J.,

vsg

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.12846 of 2026

Date : 30/06/2026