

*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12361 of 2026*

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 25.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

**CRL OP(MD). No. 12361 of 2026**

1.S.Vinothkumar  
2.Pavithra  
3.M.Pattammal  
4.I.Ramesh

...petitioners

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Thiruvayaru Police Station,  
Thanjavur District.  
(Crime No.208 of 2026)

...Respondent/Complainant

For petitioners : Ms.B.Sargunam  
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.G.Ganesh Kumar  
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

**PRAYER :-**

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No. 208 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent for



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12361 of 2026*

the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 394(4) and 115(2) of BNS of BNS, in Crime No.208 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 19.06.2026, the petitioners entered into the residence of the defacto complainant without permission, abused him in filthy language, threatened him with dire consequences and attempted to intimidate him in connection with a dispute between the parties. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioners and the defacto complainant are the close relatives. Due to previous dispute, this complaint has been lodged. Hence, he prayed anticipatory bail for the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (crl. Side) appearing for the respondent would submit that the offence are grave in nature. There is previous dispute between the parties. Nobody was sustained injuries in this case. The



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12361 of 2026*

fourth petitioner has two previous cases and the other petitioners have no previous case. Investigation is still pending. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, considering the nature of charges levelled against the petitioners, nobody was injured in this case, there is property dispute between the parties, though the fourth petitioner has two previous cases, he was already granted bail in those cases and there is no previous case against the other petitioners and also considering all the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvaiyaru, Thanjavur District, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12361 of 2026*

sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned

Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

**[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police at 10.30 a.m., on every Saturday for a period of four weeks, thereafter as and when required for interrogation;**

[c] the petitioners shall not commit any offences of similar nature.


[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Judicial Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.

(P D B J)  
25.06.2026



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12361 of 2026*

WEB COPY

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvaiyaru, Thanjavur District.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,  
Thiruvayaru Police Station,  
Thanjavur District. (Crime No.208 of 2026)
- 3.The Superintendent, Central Jail, Madurai.
- 4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12361 of 2026*

**P. DHANABAL, J.**

TM

ORDER  
IN  
**CRL OP(MD) No. 12361 of 2026**

Date : 25.06.2026