

Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12577 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 29.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP(MD). No. 12577 of 2026

Sivakumar

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Jeeyapuram, Trichy District.
(Crime No.24 of 2025)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Dr.R.Alagumani
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian
Counsel for State of TN (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 24 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 03.07.2025, for the offences punishable under Sections 5(m), 5(n), 5(l), 5(j)(ii) r/w. 6(1) of POCSO Act and Section 351 (2) of BNS, in Crime No.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12577 of 2026


24 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner herein committed aggravated penetrative sexual assault upon the victim girl, who is aged about 11 years. Hence the case has been registered and the petitioner was arrested.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner was arrested as early as on 03.07.2025 and it is the first bail application and is in custody, nearly one year. The petitioner is ready to co-operate of trial process. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Counsel appearing for the State reiterated the prosecution case and reported that in this case investigation has been completed and charge sheet has been filed before the concerned Court and the entire trial process is almost concluded by examining the Investigating Officer. The matter is posted tomorrow. He would further submit that the petitioner was detained under Act 14 of Tamil Nadu Act 1872. He opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12577 of 2026

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, that though the petitioner was arrested earlier and he was not released on bail, now he was detained under Act 14 of Tamil Nadu Act, 1872, that the investigation has been completed, charge sheet has been filed before the concerned Court, that the trial process is reached its final stage and now the case is posted for examination of Investigating Officer, this Court is not inclined to grant bail at this stage.

7. Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

(K R S J)
29.06.2026

TM

To

1. The Sessions Judge, Mahila Court, Tiruchirappalli.
2. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Jeeyapuram, Trichy District. (Crime No.24 of 2025)
3. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Trichy.
4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12577 of 2026

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

TM

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No. 12577 of 2026

Date : 29.06.2026