



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12667 of 2026*

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 29.06.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

**CRL OP(MD). No. 12667 of 2026**

Kannan

...Petitioner

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Prohibition Enforcement Wing,  
Melur, Madurai.  
(Crime No.569 of 2024)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.P.Kalaiyarasi  
Advocate

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian  
Counsel for State of TN (Crl. Side)

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

**PRAYER :-**

For Bail in Cr.No. 569 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner / Accused, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 17.10.2024, for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w. 20(b)(ii)(C), 25 and 29(1) of NDPS Act, in Crime No.569 of 2024 on the file of



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12667 of 2026*

the respondent police, seeks bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner joining hands with other accused found in possession of 85 kilograms of Ganja. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent and he was falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. The petitioner is in custody from the year 2024. This is third bail application filed by the petitioner. He is in judicial custody for more than two years, but no trial is progressing. He is ready to co-operate with the trial. Hence, he prayed bail for the petitioner.

4. The learned Counsel appearing for the State reiterated the prosecution case and reported that since A3 has been absconded, trial could not be completed. The entire quantity was recovered while the petitioner was transporting and trafficking the ganja. The petitioner's earlier applications have been dismissed by this Court twice. There is no change of circumstances to grant bail to the petitioner. He opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12667 of 2026*

records.

WEB COPY

6. I have gone through the earlier order passed by this Court in two occasions, wherein the case was considered on merits and found that the findings have been rendered and the petitioner was actively participated in this occurrence. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Though it is stated that the petitioner is in incarceration for nearly two years, since one of the co-accused absconded, the trial could not be completed. Considering the fact that since one of the co-accused is still absconding, though this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, there shall be a direction to the trial Court to speed up the trial process and complete the same at the earliest. If necessary, the trial Court may split up the case in respect of the absconded accused and proceed with the trial.

7. With the above said directions, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

(K R S J)  
29.06.2026

TM

To

1.The Sessions Judge, Principal Special Court for trial of NDPS Act Cases,  
Madurai.

3/5



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12667 of 2026*

2.The Inspector of Police,  
Prohibition Enforcement Wing,  
Melur, Madurai. (Crime No.569 of 2024)

3.The Superintendent, Central Prison, Madurai.

4.The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



*Crl.O.P.(MD)No.12667 of 2026*

**K. RAJASEKAR, J.**

TM

ORDER  
IN  
**CRL OP(MD) No. 12667 of 2026**

Date : 29.06.2026