



W.P(MD)No.16175 of 2019

WEB COPY BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 11.08.2026

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI
and
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE N.DILIP KUMAR**

W.P(MD)No.16175 of 2019

and

CMP (MD) No.6137 of 2019, WMP (MD) No.12875 of 2019

1. The Managing Director,
Tamilnadu State Transport Corporation
Tirunelveli Ltd., Tirunelveli Region,
Tirunelveli.
2. The General Manager,
Tamilnadu State Transport Corporation
Tirunelveli Ltd.,
Vannarapettai,
Tirunelveli-3.
3. The Administration,
Tamilnadu State Transport Corporation
Tirunelveli Ltd.,
Tirunelveli Region,
Tirunelveli.

... Petitioner(s)

VS.



W.P(MD)No.16175 of 2019

WEB COURT

1. State Human Rights Commission,
O/o.State Human Rights Commission,
No.143, P.S.Kumarasamy Raja Salai,
Greenways Road, Chennai-600 028.

2. M.Palvannanathan

... Respondents

PRAYER : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records from the 1st respondent State Human Rights Commission relating to the impugned Complainant by it in Case No.11967/2018/C-3 dated 14/05/2019 and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr. K.Sathiya Singh

For Respondents : Mr.K.Guhan (R1)

: Mr.Gokul Abimanyu
for M/s.C.Arul Vadivel @ Sekar Associates

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by M.DHANDAPANI, J.)

This Writ Petition has been filed challenging the order passed by the first respondent/State Human Rights Commission in Case No.11967/2018/C-3 dated 14.05.2019.



W.P(MD)No.16175 of 2019

WEB COPY

2. The learned counsel appearing for the second respondent would submit that the second respondent had approached the Labour Court under 2-A(2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, in respect of the non-implementation of the award passed in I.D. No.61 of 2011 dated 16.04.2012. Thereafter, the second respondent lodged a complaint before the State Human Rights Commission alleging non-implementation of the said award. Pursuant to the complaint, the State Human Rights Commission had issued a summons to the petitioner, challenging which the present Writ Petition has been filed. However, the learned counsel appearing for the second respondent would submit that, subsequently, the said order has been implemented and therefore, there is no grievance surviving before the State Human Rights Commission. Accordingly, he would submit that the present Writ Petition may be closed.

3. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the entire materials available on record.



W.P(MD)No.16175 of 2019

WEB COPY

4. On a perusal of the records, it is seen that the complaint before the State Human Rights Commission has been filed alleging non-implementation of the award passed by the Labour Court. The State Human Rights Commission cannot act as an execution Court for executing an award passed by the Labour Court. Therefore, the summons issued by the State Human Rights Commission in respect of the non-implementation of the Labour Court award is impermissible in law.

5. Accordingly, the summons issued by the State Human Rights Commission is set aside. The Writ Petition is allowed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

[M.D.I.,J.] & [N.D.K.,J.]
11.08.2026

NCC : Yes / No
Index : Yes / No
Internet : Yes
PKN



W.P(MD)No.16175 of 2019

WEB COPY To

The State Human Rights Commission,
O/o.State Human Rights Commission,
No.143, P.S.Kumarasamy Raja Salai,
Greenways Road, Chennai-600 028.



WEB COPY



W.P(MD)No.16175 of 2019

M.DHANDAPANI, J.
and
N.DILIP KUMAR, J.

PKN

W.P(MD)No.16175 of 2019

11.08.2026