



CRL OP(MD). No.8989 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 09/07/2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP(MD). No.8989 of 2026

1. B. Chandra Sekar

2. C. Arun Yogeshwaran

... Petitioners/ Accused No.1 & 3

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu Rep., By,
The Sub Inspector of Police,
CCB Police Station,
Madurai City.
(Crime No. 31 of 2025).

... 1st Respondent/Complainant

2. Dr.A.B. Rajasekar

... 2nd Respondent/Defacto
Complainant

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Crime No. 31 of 2025 on the file of the
respondent police...

For Petitioners : Mr.Arun Ramnadh, Advocate,
for M/s.P.Subha Rani, Advocate.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.8989 of 2026

For Respondent : Mr.N.Balasubramanian,
Counsel For State of TN (CrI.Side) for R1
: Mr.S.Vellai Chamy,
Advocate for R2.

ORDER

The petitioners/A1 & A3, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 49, 296(b), 318(4) and 351(3) of BNS, 2023, in Crime No.31 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. According to the prosecution, the petitioners herein are the father & son and the defacto complainant is the uncle of the first petitioner, who is aged about 99 years. The petitioners forged the signature of the complainant and obtained loan of Rs.2.74 crore from the State Bank of India where A6 was working as Manager. Hence, the complainant gave a complaint. Based on that, the respondent police, registered a case in Crime No.31 of 2025 for the aforesaid offences. Hence, this petition.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any such offence as




CRL OP(MD), No.8989 of 2026

alleged by the prosecution and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that this is the third anticipatory bail petition and earlier petitions filed by the petitioners were dismissed by this Court. He further submitted that after dismissal of the earlier petitions, documents were sent for forensic comparison, and the report also support the case of the petitioners. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Counsel For State of TN (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and on instructions, submitted that earlier anticipatory bail petitions filed by the petitioners were dismissed by this Court twice on merits and present petition has also been filed without any change in circumstances and steps have been taken for comparison of documents and the investigation is pending. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. I have gone through the FIR and also the connected materials.

6. This Court considering the contention made by the learned counsel for the petitioner that after dismissal of the earlier petitions,



CRL OP(MD), No.8989 of 2026

documents were sent for forensic comparison and awaiting opinion. But, no material has been produced before this Court to substantiate the fact that there is no forgery. The prosecution has also reported that steps have been taken for comparison and the investigation is pending. The earlier petitions filed by the petitioners were already dismissed by this Court on merit and there is no change in circumstances in the present petition. Hence, this Court is not inclined to entertain the present petition.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

09.07.2026

dss



CRL OP(MD), No.8989 of 2026

WEB COPY

To

1.The Sub Inspector of Police,
CCB Police Station,
Madurai City.

2.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD), No.8989 of 2026

K. RAJASEKAR,J

DSS

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.8989 of 2026

Date : 09/07/2026