



C.R.P(MD)No.1724 and 1725 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 07.07.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.SOUNTHAR

C.R.P.(MD)No.1724 and 1725 of 2026

and

C.M.P(MD)No.8295 and 8298 of 2026

C.R.P.(MD)No.1724 of 2026

R.Loganathan

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.Mayilvel

2.The Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable
Endowment Department,
No.119, Uthamar Gandhi Road,
Chennai District.

3.The Joint Commissioner,
Hindu Religious and Charitable
Endowment Department,
Hindu Religious and Charitable
Endowment Department Regional Office,
Sivagangai District.

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition is filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India to set aside the fair and decreetal order dated 20.02.2024 passed in Interlocutory Application in I.A.No.4/2022 in O.S.No.26 of 2021 on the file of the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court Thiruppuvanam.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Aswin Raja Simman



C.R.P(MD)No.1724 and 1725 of 2026

WEB COPY C.R.P.(MD)No.1725 of 2026

R.Loganathan

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1.Mayilvel

2.Ayyanar

S/o Ramachandran

Inspector,

Hindu Religious and Charitable

Endowment Department,

Manamadurai,

Sivagangai Taluk,

Sivagangai District.

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition is filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India to set aside the fair and decreetal order dated 06.10.2025 passed in Interlocutory Application in I.A.No.7/2023 in O.S.No.26 of 2021 on the file of the District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court Thiruppuvanam.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Aswin Raja Simman

* * * * *

COMMON ORDER

These Civil Revision Petitions are filed challenging the orders passed by the trial Court dismissing the applications filed by the petitioner seeking impleadment of the HR & CE Officials and dismissing the application filed by the Inspector of HR & CE Department seeking his impleadment as a party to the suit.



WEB COPY

2. The contesting first respondent/plaintiff instituted the suit seeking ejectment of the petitioner based on the existence of a landlord-tenant relationship. The petitioner has been contesting the suit by raising various defences, including a dispute regarding the title to the suit property. According to the petitioner, the suit property belongs to the Thaneer Pandal Maniyam under the control of the HR & CE Department. Therefore, the petitioner filed I.A. No.4 of 2022 seeking impleadment of the Commissioner, HR & CE Department, and the jurisdictional Joint Commissioner as party defendants. Likewise, the Inspector of the HR & CE Department filed I.A. No.7 of 2023 seeking his impleadment on the ground that he had been appointed as the Fit Person of the Thaneer Pandal by the Assistant Commissioner, HR & CE Department. Both the impleading applications were dismissed by the trial Court. Aggrieved by the said order, the petitioner has filed the present Civil Revision Petitions.

3. It is pertinent to note that the Inspector of the HR & CE Department, whose application for impleadment in I.A. No.7 of 2023 was dismissed, has not chosen to challenge the said order. It is also brought to the notice of this Court that the order appointing him as the Fit Person has subsequently been set aside by this Court in a writ petition.



WEB COPY

4. It is seen from the records that the contesting first respondent instituted the suit seeking ejectment of the petitioner solely on the basis of the landlord-tenant relationship. The suit has not been filed on the basis of title, as is evident from the pleadings and the valuation of the plaint. To maintain a suit for ejectment, it is not necessary for the plaintiff to establish absolute title over the property. Proof of the jural relationship between the parties is sufficient to sustain such a claim.

5. In such circumstances, the dispute, if any, regarding the title to the suit property between the contesting first respondent and the HR & CE Department is wholly irrelevant to the issues arising for consideration in the present suit. The trial Court has rightly appreciated the legal position and dismissed the impleading applications on the ground that, in a suit for ejectment founded on the jural relationship between the parties, the question of title does not arise for consideration. I do not find any illegality or infirmity in the impugned orders passed by the trial Court warranting interference by this Court.



C.R.P(MD)No.1724 and 1725 of 2026

WEB COPY

6. Needless to state, the existence of the jural relationship between the parties shall be decided by the trial Court on the basis of the evidence adduced by the parties. Any observation made in this order, while deciding the present Civil Revision Petitions arising out of the dismissal of the impleading applications, shall not affect the rights and contentions of any of the parties during the trial.

7. These Civil Revision Petitions stand dismissed accordingly.
No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

07.07.2026

Index :Yes / No
Internet :Yes / No
NCC :Yes / No
skn

To

1.The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court
Thiruppuvanam.

2.The Section Officer,
VR Section,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



C.R.P(MD)No.1724 and 1725 of 2026

S.SOUNTHAR, J.

skn

C.R.P.(MD)No.1724 and 1725 of 2026
and
C.M.P(MD)No.8295 and 8298 of 2026

07.07.2026