

W.P.(MD)No.2998 of 2024

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 21.07.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

W.P.(MD)No.2998 of 2024

and

W.M.P.(MD)Nos.2994 to 2996 of 2024

Carnal P.Rajendran

... Petitioner

-VS-

1.The Appellate Tribunal cum The District Collector,
Theni District, Theni.


2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Periyakulam,
Theni District.

3.The Sub Registrar,
O/o. The Sub Registrar Office,
Theni, Theni District.

4.Major Karthickraj

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to
issue a Writ of Certiorari, to call for the records pertaining to the order



W.P.(MD)No.2998 of 2024

WEB COPY

dated 13.04.2023 passed by the second respondent vide proceedings
ந.க.எண். 1081/2023/அ2 and its consequential confirmation order dated
12.07.2023 passed by the first respondent in செ.மு.ந.க.எண். 1258/அ1/2023
and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Senthil Murugan
For Respondents 1 to 3 : Mr.R.Ramasamy
Counsel for the State of Tamil Nadu
For Respondent No.4 : Mr.M.M.Iqbal

ORDER

The present Writ Petition has been filed challenging the impugned
order dated 13.04.2023 passed by the second respondent vide proceedings
ந.க.எண்.1081/2023/அ2 and its consequential confirmation order dated
12.07.2023 passed by the first respondent in செ.மு.ந.க.எண். 1258/அ1/2023.

2.Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the fourth
respondent is the adopted son of the petitioner and the petitioner executed
a settlement deed in favour of the fourth respondent. Thereafter, the
petitioner made a complaint before the second respondent as against the
third respondent for cancellation of the aforesaid settlement deeds and the
second respondent rejected the same and also refused to grant
maintenance on the ground that the petitioner is receiving pension and he



W.P.(MD)No.2998 of 2024

has income from other agricultural activities. Challenging the same, the petitioner preferred appeal before the first respondent, however, the first respondent confirmed the order of the second respondent. As against the concurrent findings, the present Writ Petition has been filed.

3.However, learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that, without going into the merits of the matter, this Court may grant liberty to the petitioner to file a civil suit before the competent Civil Court.

4.Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner.

5.Recording the submission made by the learned Counsel for the petitioner, this Writ Petition is dismissed, giving liberty to the petitioner to file a civil suit before the competent Civil Court. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

21.07.2026

NCC : Yes / No

Index : Yes / No

Internet: Yes / No

MR



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.2998 of 2024

To

1.The Appellate Tribunal cum The District Collector,
Theni District,
Theni.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Periyakulam,
Theni District.

3.The Sub Registrar,
O/o. The Sub Registrar Office,
Theni, Theni District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD)No.2998 of 2024

M.DHANDAPANI, J.

MR

W.P.(MD)No.2998 of 2024

21.07.2026