

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 20-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.V. KARTHIKEYAN

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.SAKTHIVEL

W.P(MD)No.2616 of 2024

K.R.Vimalbalaji

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. by its Secretary,
Municipal Administration and Water Supply
Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai - 600 009.
2. The Commissioner of Town Panchayat
7th and 8th Floor,
Urban Administrative Building,
75, Santhome High Road,
Chennai - 600 028.
3. The District Collector
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.
4. The Assistant Director of Town Panchayat
O/o.The District Collector,
Madurai District.
5. The Executive Officer
Chettiyarpatti Selection Grade Town Panchayat,
Virudhunagar District - 626 122.
6. P.Chandra Kala



7. The Assistant Director
Local Fund Audit,
Virudhunagar District.

WEB COPY

..Respondent(s)


PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying this Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents 2 to 4 to conduct enquiry into the Audit Objection raised by the 7th respondent Local Fund Audit during the period January, 2022 to September 2023 and also the other periods towards the expenditures made by the 6th respondent in the 5th respondent Panchayat and take appropriate action against the 6th respondent by considering the petitioner's representation dated 15.09.2023.

For Petitioner	:	Mr.K.Ragatheesh Kumar M/s.Isaac Chambers
For R1 to R4	:	Mr.S.I.Muthiah Counsel for State of Tamil Nadu
For R5 to R7	:	No Appearance

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by C.V.Karthikeyan J.)

This Writ Petition had been filed in the nature of a Mandamus seeking a direction against 2nd, 3rd and 4th respondents to conduct enquiry into the audit objection raised by the 7th respondent/Local Fund Audit during the period January 2022 to September 2023 made by the 6th respondent in the 5th respondent Panchayat and take appropriate action against the 6th respondent.



WEB COPY

2. In view of the fact that necessary disciplinary proceedings had been initiated against the 6th respondent and the 6th respondent naturally has the right to take up the issue further in manner known to law, we would refrain from examining the facts of the case.

3. It is submitted that disciplinary proceedings had actually been initiated against 6th respondent by proceedings dated 05.05.2025 in Na.Ka.No.18791/2022/Voo2, and punishment of stoppage of increment for two years with cumulative effect had also been imposed.

4. Recording the said statement and also taking on record the copy of the proceedings, the Writ Petition stands closed. No costs.

(C.V.K.,J.) (R.S.V.,J.)
20-07-2026

cmr



To

1. The State of Tamil Nadu
Rep. by its Secretary,
Municipal Administration and Water Supply
Department,
Fort St.George, Chennai - 600 009.
2. The Commissioner of Town Panchayat
7th and 8th Floor,
Urban Administrative Building,
75, Santhome High Road,
Chennai - 600 028.
3. The District Collector
Virudhunagar District,
Virudhunagar.
4. The Assistant Director of Town Panchayat
O/o.The District Collector,
Madurai District.
5. The Executive Officer
Chettiyarpatti Selection Grade Town Panchayat,
Virudhunagar District - 626 122.
6. The Assistant Director
Local Fund Audit,
Virudhunagar District.



WEB COPY

WP(MD) No. 2616 of 2



**C.V.KARTHIKEYAN, J.
AND
R.SAKTHIVEL, J.**

cmr

WP(MD) No. 2616 of 2024

20-07-2026