

CRP(MD). No.574 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

WEB COPY

Dated : 21.07.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SOUNTHAR

**CRP(MD). No.574 of 2026
and
C.M.P.(MD) No.2589 of 2026**

Kaliammal (Died)

A. Laksmanan Chettiar

... Petitioner

Vs

1. A. Shanmugam

2. Muthu

3. A. Sivalingam

4. Valli

5. Annamalai

6. Meenal

7. Kumar

8. Chithambaram

9. C.T. Lakshmanan

Ala.Veera.setha.Veerappa Chettiar (Died)

Alaa.Veera.setha.Veerappa Chettiar @ Annamalai Chettiar (Died)

Subramanian Chettiar (Died)

1/6



CRP(MD), No.574 of 2026

10. L. Chidambaram Chettiar

11. CT. Lakshmanan

12. V. R. Arunachalam Chettiar

13. V.R. Senthilnathan Chettiar

14. S. Vaitheeshwaran

15. V.R. Alagammai Aachi

16. R.M. Chidambaram Chettiar

17. C.T. Ramanathan

18. C.T. Meenatchi Sundaram

19. P. Soundaram Aachi

20. V.R. Ramanathan Chettiar

21. R.M. Alagappan

22. R.M. Arunachalam

23. CA.RM. Senthilkumar


24. R. M. Murugappan

25. M. Parvathavarthini Aachi

26. M. Krishnan

27. M. Shanmuganathan

28. A.P.Alappan Chettiar



CRP(MD). No.574 of 2026

WEB COPY

29. A.L.Annamalai

30. AL. Radhakrishnan

31. S.P. Veerappan Chettiar

32. V.R. Ganesan

... Respondents

PRAYER :- Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of The Constitution of India to call for the records pertaining to the fair and decretal order dated 30.10.2025 made in I.A. No. 3 of 2025 in O.S. No. 135 of 2010 on the file of the Sub Court, Devakottai and set aside the same and consequently allow the present Civil Revision Petition and thus render justice.

For Petitioner : M/s.J.Anandakumar

For Respondents : Mr.A.david Oliver

ORDER

This Civil Revision Petition is filed challenging the order passed by the trial Court dismissing the application filed by the petitioner seeking to record him as legal representative of deceased sole plaintiff.



CRP(MD). No.574 of 2026

WEB COPY

2. The instant application has been filed by the petitioner mainly on the ground that he is the testamentary heir of deceased sole plaintiff, namely Kaliasammal. However, along with the petition, the Will relied on by the petitioner has not been produced and there is no attempt on the part of the petitioner to prove the Will relied on by him. In such circumstances, the petitioner is not entitled to come on record as legal representatives of deceased sole plaintiff.

3. It is also seen from the impugned order that, similar application was filed by the petitioner seeking to record him as legal representatives of deceased Kaliasammal in I.A.No.2 of 2023 and the said application was opposed by the contesting respondent on the ground that the Will relied on by the petitioner was not a genuine document. The contesting respondent also raised a plea that Kaliasammal got some other heirs. The earlier application filed by the petitioner was dismissed as not pressed on 24.02.2025. Thereafter, the petitioner has come up with this application seeking to record him as a legal representative of the deceased Kaliasammal. When earlier application was not dismissed with liberty to



CRP(MD), No.574 of 2026

the petitioner, and he is not entitled to maintain second application.

Further, the petitioner failed to produce the Will and the petitioner did not make any attempt to prove the same. In such circumstances, the trial court had no other option but to dismiss the petition.

4. In such circumstances, I do not find any merits in the present Civil Revision Petition. Accordingly, this Civil Revision Petition stands dismissed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. No costs.

NCC : Yes/No
Index : Yes / No
Internet :Yes / No
gyn

21.07.2026

To

The Sub Court, Devakottai



WEB COPY



CRP(MD). No.574 of 2026

S.SOUNTHAR, J.

gvn

CRP(MD). No.574 of 2026

21.07.2026