



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13-07-2026

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

WP CrI. No. 32 of 2026

1. R. Shankar
S/o.Ramasamy,
Moolakadai Kunjandiyur,
Konur Post,
Veerakalpudhur Village,
Mettur Taluk,
Salem District.

2. K.Thangadurai
S/o.Karuppanan,
8/859A Panchangadu,
Kunjampalayam,
Edappadi Taluk,
Salem District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police
Salem District,
Salem.
2. The Inspector of Police,
Edappadi Police Station,
Salem District.
3. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Sankari Range,
Salem District.
4. M.Kala,
W/o.Maadhaiyan,
D/o.Rajagopal @ Jayagopal,
D.No.2/270 Gandhi Street,
Chikurayapuram Post,
Sriperambudur Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.



5. Vijay,
S/o.Maadhaiyan,
D.No.2/270, Gandhi Street,
Chikurayapuram Post,
Sriperambudur Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.

6. M.Saravanan,
S/o.Maadhaiyan,
D.No.2/270, Gandhi Street,
Chikurayapuram Post,
Sriperambudur Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.


7. M.Praveena,
D/o.Maadhaiyan,
D.No.2/270, Gandhi Street,
Chikurayapuram Post,
Sriperambudur Taluk,
Kancheepuram District.

8. R.Vishwanathan,
S/o.Ramasamy,
D.No.1/3 2A, Paruvan Street,
Konur Post,
Veerakalpudhur Village,
Mettur Taluk,
Salem District.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER:

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking to issue Writ of MANDAMUS directing the respondents 1 to 3 to grant adequate protection to fence the property of the petitioners by considering 2nd petitioner's representation dated 25.10.2025.



WEB COPY

WP CrI. No. 32 of 2



For Petitioner(s):

Mr.R.Prabakar

For Respondent(s):

Mr.R.Ganesh Kumar for R1 to R3
Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu (Criminal Side)
High Court of Madras
Mr.A.Sathiya Prakash for R4 to R7
Mr.C.Harish for R8

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed for direction directing the respondents 1 to 3 to grant adequate protection to fence the property of the petitioners by considering the second petitioner's representation dated 25.10.2025.

2.The second petitioner lodged complaint seeking police protection for fencing the property. There is property dispute between the petitioner and the respondents 4 to 8 herein. Therefore, the representation submitted by the second petitioner seeking police protection cannot be considered.

3.The writ petition is dismissed. However, the petitioners are at liberty to approach the civil Court for appropriate relief.


13-07-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

pri



To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Superintendent of Police
Salem District,
Salem.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
Edappadi Police Station,
Salem District.
- 3.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Sankari Range,
Salem District.
- 4.The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court,
Chennai – 600 104.



WEB COPY

WP Crl. No. 32 of 2



G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

pri

WP Crl. No. 32 of 2026

13-07-2026