



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 13-07-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN**

**WP CrI. No. 24 of 2026**

WEB COPY

K.M. Viswanathan  
S/o. Muthugoundar,  
Door No.7, Salem Main Road,  
Kalipatty Post,  
Tiruchengode Taluk,  
Namakkal District - 637 501.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police,  
Namakkal District,  
Namakkal.
2. The Inspector of Police,  
Mallasamudram Police Station,  
Namakkal District.
3. Kamalam  
W/o. Shanmugam,  
residing at  
Salem Main Road,  
Kalipatty Post,  
Tiruchengode Taluk,  
Namakkal District - 637 501.
4. Saraswathi  
D/o. Shanmugam,  
residing at  
Salem Main Road,  
Kalipatty Post,  
Tiruchengode Taluk,  
Namakkal District - 637 501.

..Respondent(s)

**PRAYER:**

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents 1 and 2 to give necessary police protection for fencing of petitioner properties comprised in S.Nos. 58/3C2, 59/1A2, 59/1C and 57/3C situated at Mallasamuthiram Keelmugam Village, Tiruchengode Taluk, Namakkal District pursuant to my complaint dated 17.11.2025.


For Petitioner(s): Mr.P.Dasarathan

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Ganesh Kumar for R1 and R2  
Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu (Criminal Side)  
High Court of Madras  
N.S.Suganthan for R3 and R4

**ORDER**

This writ petition has been filed for direction directing the respondents 1 and 2 to give necessary police protection for fencing of petitioner properties comprised in S.Nos. 58/3C2, 59/1A2, 59/1C and 57/3C situated at Mallasamuthiram Keelmugam Village, Tiruchengode Taluk, Namakkal District pursuant to the petitioner's complaint dated 17.11.2025.

2.On receipt of the complaint from the petitioner, the second respondent conducted enquiry and the same was closed. That apart to put up fence, police protection cannot be granted.



WEB COPY

3. Further, the learned counsel for the respondents 3 and 4 submits that the respondents 3 and 4 are also claiming possession of the subject property.

Therefore, the request made by the petitioner seeking police protection cannot be considered.

4. The writ petition is dismissed. However, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the civil Court for appropriate relief.

**13-07-2026**

Index: Yes/No  
Speaking/Non-speaking order  
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

pri

To

1. The Superintendent of Police,  
Namakkal District,  
Namakkal.

2. The Inspector of Police,  
Mallasamudram Police Station,  
Namakkal District.

3. The Public Prosecutor,  
Madras High Court,  
Chennai – 600 104.



WEB COPY

WP Crl. No. 24 of 2



**G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.**

pri

**WP Crl. No. 24 of 2026**

**13-07-2026**