



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU

Arb Appln No. 1705 of 2025

Tata Capital Ltd
By its Associate Legal Remedial
R.Kamalakkannan
Having its office at
1st floor, Centennial Square,
6A - Dr Ambedkar Salai, Kodambakkam
Chennai – 600 024.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Vijayakumara G P

...Respondent(s)

2. The Authorised Officer
Salary - Disbursal Dept for Vijayakumar G.P.
Lecturer, Pu Pre University Board,
Government of Karnataka
KGIDF NO./Metal/No.263 949
GPF/PRAN No.110033395160AG
Shikaripura, Shimoga, 577 215
Karnataka District.

..Garnishee

Prayer : To direct the Respondent to furnish security for a sum of Rs.15,69,709/- within the time stipulated by this Hon'ble Court failing which to pass an order directing the Garnishee to deduct and deposit the amount payable to the Respondent towards salary and also direct the Garnishee to deposit the amount to the credit of this application.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.N.K.Vanan

For Respondent(s): Mr.M.P.Saravanan




ORDER

WEB COPY

This application has been filed to direct the respondent to furnish security for a sum of Rs.15,69,709/- within the time stipulated by this Court failing which to pass an order directing the Garnishee to deduct and deposit the amount payable to the respondent towards salary and also direct the Garnishee to deposit the amount to the credit of this application.

2. Today when the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel, who appeared on behalf of the respondent, would submit that the respondent had taken back the bundle along with change of vakalat from him. However, the respondent, who had filed a counter, had not taken any steps to engage a counsel and file the change of vakalat on his behalf.

3. This Court during the earlier hearing on 01.07.2026 had directed the respondent to furnish security by considering the fact that in the counter filed, no reasons have been given as to why he is not required to furnish security, that too in a post-award application. In spite of which, the respondent's conduct is delaying compliance with the order dated 01.07.2026. It is only a dilatory tactics adopted by him.



4. In such view of the matter, there shall be a direction to the Garnishee to deduct a sum of Rs.15,000/-, which this Court arrives by considering the salary slip (Pay slip for the month of March 2024), which indicates that the total payable gross salary of the respondent is Rs.90,636/- in which a deduction of Rs.28,551/- is being made and net salary is only Rs.62,085/-. The said sum of Rs.15,000/- is arrived at in view of the restriction placed under Section 18 of the Code of Wages, 2019. On deducting the said amount, the Garnishee/second respondent shall pay the said amount of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) to the applicant.

5. With the aforesaid order, this application stands disposed of.

Maya

29-07-2026



WEB COPY

Arb Appln No. 1705 of 2



K.KUMARESH BABU, J.

Maya

Arb Appln No. 1705 of 2025

29-07-2026