



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-07-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 11079 of 2026

1. Ramani, F/A 60 Years.,
W/o.Paramasivam,
A-1, First Floor, Subi Elite Apts.,
Pudupakkam main road, pudupakkam,
Kancheepuram - 603 103.
2. Eby Thomas, M/A 54 Years.,
S/o.M.D.Thomas,
No.480/C, Baazar main road,
Ram Nagar South,
Madipakkam,
Chennai-91.


..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The District Collector,
Office of the District Collector,
Singaravelar Maaligai,
No.62, Rajaji Salai,
Chennai - 600 001.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: The Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondent to consider and dispose of the representation dated 02.05.2025 and 03.05.2016 seeking clarification in the classification cum A Register for Survey Nos.172/1,172/2,172/3 and 172/4 of Thiruvanmiyur Village as there are some



discrepancies in the Interim Report issued by the respondent vide J2/52369/96 dated 04.04.2016 sent ADGP, CB-CID which was received by ourselves vide letter No.J2/8827/2016 dated 29.04.2016 under RTI within the time stipulated by this COurt.


For Petitioner(s): Mr. L. Chandrakumar

For Respondent(s): Mr. B. Pachaiyappan
Government Counsel

ORDER

The present Writ Petition has been filed seeking the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondent to consider the petitioner's representations dated 03.05.2016 and 02.05.2025.

2. The case of the petitioner is that representations dated 03.05.2016 and 02.05.2025 were submitted to the respondent, seeking a clarification regarding the classification in the A-Register for Survey Nos. 172/1, 172/2, 172/3, and 172/4 situated at Thiruvanmiyur Village. However, despite the lapse of considerable time, no action has been taken by the respondent on the said representations till date. Aggrieved by the statutory inaction on the part of the respondent, the petitioner has approached this Court by way of the present Writ Petition.



3. In response, Mr. B. Pachaiyappan, learned Government Counsel appearing for the respondent, submitted that the representations of the petitioner dated 03.05.2016 and 02.05.2025 would be considered and appropriate orders would be passed thereon on merits and in accordance with law, within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on either side, this Court, without going into the merits of the matter, directs the respondent to consider the petitioner's representations dated 03.05.2016 and 02.05.2025. The respondent, after issuing due notice to the petitioner and affording him an opportunity for a personal hearing, shall pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law. The entire exercise shall be completed within a period of six (6) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. With the above directions, this Writ Petition stands disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

17-07-2026

Asi



WEB COPY

WP No. 11079 of 2



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.

Asi

To

The District Collector,
Office of the District Collector,
Singaravelar Maaligai,
No.62, Rajaji Salai,
Chennai - 600 001.

WP No. 11079 of 2026

17-07-2026