



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 10-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU

EP No. 9 of 2026

CMA CGM Logistics Park Dadri Pvt. Ltd.,
Rep. by its Director / CEO,
Tilpata Road, ICD Dadri,
Greater Noida, U.P.-20311.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

ETA General Pvt. Ltd.,
Rep. by its Director/Authorized Signatory,
ETA Star House, Old No.63, New No.71,
Sterling Road, Nungambakkam, Chennai-600034.

..Respondent(s)


PRAYER:- Execution Petition filed to direct the Judgement Debtor to deposit the pending sum of Rs.23,42,295/- (Rupees Twenty Three Lakhs, Forty Two Thousand, Two Hundred and Ninety Five only) failing which an order may be passed under Order XXI Rules 37 and 38 of CPC 1908 for the arrest and detention of the Judgement Debtor on default to execute the order of Honourable Court.

For Petitioner(s): Ms.Madhu Preetha Elango
for Mr. Adarsh Ramanujan

For Respondent(s): No appearance

ORDER

The present Execution Petition filed to direct the Judgement Debtor to deposit the pending sum of Rs.23,42,295/- (Rupees Twenty Three Lakhs, Forty Two Thousand, Two Hundred and Ninety Five only) failing which an order may be passed under Order XXI Rules 37 and 38 of CPC 1908 for the arrest and



detention of the Judgement Debtor on default to execute the order of this Court.

WEB COPY

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Noting that in spite of service of notice, there was no appearance on the side of the respondent/ Judgement Debtor in an application filed for a direction to the Judgement Debtor to file its affidavit of assets and other means. This Court had directed the numbering of the Execution Petition. Apart from it, a direction was also issued under the same order directing the respondent to deposit a sum of Rs.23,42,295/- (Rupees Twenty Three Lakhs, Forty Two Thousand, Two Hundred and Ninety Five only) and issue a further notice in the Execution Petition. Thereafter, substituted service had also been effected through paper publication. It is to be noted that a counsel had entered appearance and the Vakalath filed by the counsel had been returned as early as on 25.11.2025.

4. As noted above, the direction issued by this Court for a deposit of sum of Rs.23,42,295/- (Rupees Twenty Three Lakhs, Forty Two Thousand, Two Hundred and Ninety Five only) had not been complied with and the fact that the Vakalath had been filed and returned in the month of November 2025 would make it imperative that the respondent had been put on notice on the present Execution Proceedings, in spite of which the respondent had kept away from the



judicial proceedings which in view of the Court is to deter the petitioner from recovering the amount under the Award. In such view of the matter, this Court finds no impediment in allowing the Execution Petition as prayed for.

5. As a sequel, the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand only) towards the expenses for execution of this order. On such deposit, a warrant shall be issued to secure the Judgement Debtor for him to be detained in civil prison for a period of one month.

6. Accordingly, the present Execution Petition stands ordered as prayed for. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

10-07-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

GBA



WEB COPY

EP No. 9 of 2



K.KUMARESH BABU, J.

GBA

EP No. 9 of 2026

10-07-2026