



Crl.O.P.No.23336 of 2026

N.RAMESH J.

WEB COPY

Today, this case was listed under the caption “For Being Mentioned”.

2. It is informed by the learned counsel for the Petitioner that, **in paragraph No.7 instead of ‘Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumbudur’**, it has been wrongly mentioned as ‘Judicial Magistrate Court, Tirupporur’, and the same requires to be rectified in the order dated 20.08.2026 in Crl.O.P.No. 23336 of 2026.

3.Considering the above submission, the Registry is directed to substitute the satisfaction Court’s name as **‘Judicial Magistrate, Sriperumbudur’**, in the Order dated 20.08.2026 in Crl.O.P.No.23336 of 2026, wherever necessary, and issue a fresh order copy to the parties concerned.

24.08.2026

nvi

Note:Issue order copy on 24.08.2026



WEB COPY



N.RAMESH, J.

nvi

Crl.O.P.No.23336 of 2026

24.08.2026



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20-08-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 23336 of 2026

Manikandan
S/o.Jayaraman,
No.10, Krishna Street, Alwanchery,
Mukalivakkam,
Kancheepuram District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep by The Inspector of Police
Moulivakkam Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
Crime No.149/2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Crime No.149 of 2026 on the file of the Inspector of police, Moulivakkam Police Station

For Petitioner(s): M/s. P.Muthamizhselvakumar

For Respondent(s): Mr.M.M.I.Khaleel, Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 27.07.2026 for the alleged offence under Sections 123 and 278 of BNS, 2023 in Crime No. 149 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner along with other accused was found to be in possession of 140 pain killer tablets namely Tapentadol. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 27.07.2026 and that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner was found along with A1 and that A1 was already granted bail before the trial Court. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the Petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the Respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner has one previous case pending against him and that the co-accused/A1 has already been released on bail before the Trial Court. However, he opposed the grant of bail to the Petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, it is seen that



though the petitioner has one previous case, he was found along with A1, and no contraband was seized from his possession. Taking into consideration the period of incarceration and the fact that the co-accused has already been granted bail, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Tirupporur**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed



and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

20-08-2026

DRL

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate Court,
Tirupporur.

2. The Inspector of Police
Moulivakkam Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.

3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



N.RAMESH, J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 23336 of 2026

20-08-2026