



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20-08-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ

WP No. 34038 of 2026

C.Jacob
S/o Late. Chinnappan,
Door No 3/48,
Selliyanman Kovil Street,
Kolathur, Chennai 600 048

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Sub Registrar
office of the sub Registrar,
Kelambakkam,
Thiruporur Taluk,
Chengalpattu District
2. Kabali @ John
S/o G. Chinnappan,
No.26 Rajaji Nagar Extension,
IV Cross Street,
Sembakkam,
Chennai 73
3. C. Vedhanayagam
S/o Chinnappan,
No 4/92, 42-3A
Mangali Amman Koil Street,
St. Thomas Mount,
Chennai 16
4. C. Yesu @ Doss,
S/o Chinnappan
No 29, bharathiyar Street,
II Main Raod, A.N. Colony
Mudichur,
Chennai 48



5. C.Aron
S/o G. Chinnappan,
No 26 Kolathru Village and Post,
Vandalur, Chennai 48

6. Pushparani
W/o V. Sredhar
D/o Chinnappan,
No 39 A. N. Colony, Mudichur,
Chennai 48

..Respondent(s)

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st Respondent to pass order on the objection petition of the petitioner dated 07.08.2025 and to maintain status-quo and dispose the same, within the time frame that may be fixed by this Court.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.S.Arokia Mani Raj

For Respondent(s): Mr.Dominic.S.David
Special Government Pleader for R1

ORDER

By consent of learned counsel on both sides, this writ petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself. In view of the order that this Court proposes to pass and also the limited relief that is sought to be granted, notice to the respondents 2 to 6 is dispensed with.



2. It is the case of petitioner that his late parents purchased the land in Survey No.49/1A measuring an extent of 0.20.00 hectares, Survey No.44/1A measuring an extent of 0.24.00 hectare, Survey No.43/1 measuring an extent of 0.12.00 hectare, Survey No.50/4 measuring an extent of 0.21.00 hectare and Survey No.44/1B measuring an extent of 0.11.50 hectares. Following the demise of his mother in 2007 and his father in 2020, petitioner and respondents 2 to 6 jointly inherited and took possession of the above property. While so, respondents 2 and 4 to 6 allegedly colluded with the illicit intention of alienating the entire subject property, actively attempting to sell petitioner's rightful undivided share without consent through illegal means. To safeguard the rights, petitioner submitted a formal objection petition to first respondent on 07.08.2025, which was officially acknowledged. Despite pending objection, private respondents are attempting to register sale deeds. Aggrieved, present writ petition has been filed praying for a writ of Mandamus to direct the 1st respondent to pass order on the objection petition dated 07.08.2025 and to maintain status-quo and dispose the same.

3. At the outset, the learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the first respondent would submit that petitioner's objection petition dated 07.08.2025 would be considered and appropriate orders would be passed, within a time period to be stipulated by this Court. Agreed to by the learned counsel for petitioner.



4. In view of the same, taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the limited relief sought for in this Writ Petition, there shall be a direction to the first respondent to consider the objection petition dated 07.08.2025 on its own merits and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, including making an entry, if any, in the Encumbrance Certificate, if permissible in law and if the circumstances so warrant, after conducting such enquiry as may be necessary, after issuing notice and after affording reasonable opportunity of hearing to petitioner, respondents 2 to 6 and any other interested persons within a period of two (2) weeks from the date of uploading of web copy without waiting for receipt of certified copy of the order. In the meanwhile, if any document is presented for registration, petitioner shall be put on notice and heard before proceeding with the registration. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any views on the merits of the case and it is open to the concerned respondent to consider the case on its own merits and in accordance with law.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of. No costs.

20-08-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
ANU



WP No. 34038 of 2



To
WEB COPY

The Sub Registrar
office of the sub Registrar,
Kelambakkam,
thiruporur Taluk,
Chengalpattu District



WEB COPY

WP No. 34038 of 2



MOHAMMED SHAFFIQ, J.

ANU

WP No. 34038 of 2026

20-08-2026