



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 23195 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19-08-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 23195 of 2026

K.Vignesh

..Petitioner

The State Rep by
The Inspector of Police
T-17, Perumbakkam Police Station,
New Block Ezhil Nagar, Perumbakkam,
Chennai-600 131.
Crime No.294 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER:

This Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023, praying to enlarge the Petitioner/Sole Accused on bail in FIR in **Crime No.294 of 2026**, dated 18.07.2026, pending investigation on the file of the respondent police, T-17, Perumbakkam Police Station and pass such further or other orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit proper in the circumstances of the case.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.S.Vijayaraghavan

For Respondent(s): Mr.M.M.I.Khaleel
Government Advocate



ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 18.07.2026 for the alleged offence under Sections **278, 123 of BNS and Sections 8(c), 20(b)(ii)(A) and 22(a) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act in Crime No.294 of 2026** on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was found to be in illegal possession of 150gram of ganja and 405 Tapentadol tablets (100 mg). Hence, the present case was registered.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. It was further submitted that the seized Tapentadol tablets is not listed under the Schedule of Psychotropic Substances appended to the NDPS Act and that the petitioner is willing to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for the grant of bail.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), appearing for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution's case and



submitted that the petitioner is the sole accused with one pending criminal antecedent. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and taking note of the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side); since the alleged recovery from the petitioner constitutes an intermediate quantity and considering the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner since 18.07.2026, this Court is of the firm view that further custody is not required for the purpose of investigation. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions. .

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Alandhur, Chennai**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of



WEB COPY



Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

19-08-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MFA



To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Alandhur, Chennai.
2. The Superintendent
Central Prison,
Puzhal-II, Chennai.
3. The Inspector of Police
T-17, Perumbakkam Police Station,
New Block Ezhil Nagar, Perumbakkam,
Chennai-600 131
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 23195 of 2



N.RAMESH, J.

MFA

CRL OP No. 23195 of 2026

19-08-2026