



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 23206 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20-08-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 23206 of 2026

Ajay
S/o.Sankar,
Door No.474, New Colony,
Valaiyampattu, Chengam Taluk,
Tiruvannamalai District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep by The Inspector of Police
Chengam Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
Crime No.105 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail pending investigation of the case in Crime No.105 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner: Mr.P.Neelavathi

For Respondent: Mr.M.M.I.Khaleel
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.05.2026 for the alleged offence under Sections 309(4), 332(b), 64(1), 304(2) and Sections 351(3) of BNS 2023 in Crime No.105 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that when the defacto complainant was alone in her house, the petitioner trespassed into her house and forcibly committed the offence of rape and theft of her thali chain. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 22.05.2026 and he is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the Petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the Respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner trespassed into house of the defacto complainant and committed the offence of rape and snatched her thali chain. The petitioner has six previous cases pending against him out of which five are similar in nature and investigation is not yet completed in this case. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the Petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.



6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and submission of the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent and that the petitioner has six previous cases pending against him and that the investigation has not yet been completed in this case and in such view of the serious nature of the allegations, the alleged role attributed by the petitioner and if he is enlarged on bail, there is every possibility of him tampering with witnesses and hence, this court is not inclined to grant bail to this petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

GV

20-08-2026



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 23206 of 2



N.RAMESH, J.

GV

To

1. The Inspector of Police
Chengam Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.

2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

CRL OP No. 23206 of 2026

20-08-2026