



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 22472 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13-08-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 22472 of 2026

M Edwin

..Petitioner

Vs

The state represented by
The Inspector of Police
Central Crime Branch, Avadi City,
(FIR in Crime No. 24 of 2025)

..Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under section 482 of BNSS to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police in connection to the FIR in Crime No. 24 of 2025 dated 06-02-2025 on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioner:

Mr.B. Vijay

For Respondent:

Mr.R.Rajasekaran
Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu
(Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC in Crime No.24 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner along with other accused persons forged sale deed executed by the petitioner, acting as Power Agent in favour of A6, attempting to unlawfully grab the de facto complainant's property. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the de facto complainant and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the co-accused have already been enlarged on bail and the dispute is civil in nature and that the petitioner was only the power agent and not received any sale consideration. He further submitted that he is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and ready to co-operate with the investigation. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner has three previous cases of similar nature and also detained in Goondas. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, the learned



Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for the respondent Police and perused the materials available on record.

WEB COPY

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case; taking note of the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side); considering the totality of the circumstances and upon the fact that the petitioner has three previous cases of similar nature and already detained under Goondas, hence, this Court is of the firm view that it is not appropriate to consider the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner at this stage. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

13-08-2026

SHL

To:

1. The Inspector of Police
Central Crime Branch, Avadi City.

2. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 22472 of 2



N.RAMESH J.

SHL

CRL OP No. 22472 of 2026

13-08-2026