



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 07-08-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

CRL OP No. 21673 of 2026

and

Crl.M.P.Nos.14386 & 14387 of 2026

1. Tamilarasan
S/o.Periyasamy,
Residing at
No.336/5, Sabapathi Nagar,
Eraiyr, Perambalur District.
2. Shanthi
W/o.Periyasamy,
Residing at
No.336/5, Sabapathi Nagar,
Eraiyr, Perambalur District.
3. Tamilselvan
S/o.Periyasamy,
All are Residing at
No.336/5, Sabapathi Nagar,
Eraiyr, Perambalur District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs.

1. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Perambalur,
Perambalur District.
2. The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Perambalur,
Perambalur District.
Crime No.74 of 2024



3. Ananthi
W/o.Tamilarasan,
Adi Dravidar Street,
Siruvachur Village,
Perambalur Taluk,
Perambalur District.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, praying to call for the records pertaining to the Spl.S.C.No.10 of 2025 by the learned Special Court for SC/ST, Perambalur and quash the same.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.N.Palanisamy

For Respondent(s): Mr..M.Mohamed Riyz
Government Advocate (Crl. Side) for R1 & R2

ORDER

The prayer in the Criminal Original Petition is to quash the final report in Spl.C.C.No.10 of 2025 pending before the learned Special Court for trial of SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, Perambalur.

2.The gist of allegations is that the de-facto complainant claims to be the wife of the first petitioner, second petitioner is the mother-in-law and third



petitioner is the brother-in-law. She claims that she came to contact with the first petitioner when they were working together and alleges that the marriage was performed and they are living together and subsequently, the first accused deserted and went to his home. When she tried to confront him, she was abused by her caste name and also that other abuses were made and hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the marriage itself did not take place. He would submit that even the allegations is abused with the caste name over phone. The third submission is that there is no material as far as the second and third petitioners are concerned. I am unable to accept the said submissions because the same were made on factual averments that the case is false. The same has to be established during the course of the trial. The de-facto complainant has made specific averments that she went in person and there also the abuse had happened. Therefore, it is for the petitioners to establish their case during the course of the trial, it is that no marriage happened and that no such abuse had happened etc. Finding no merits, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition(s) if any, is/are closed.

4. Considering the hardship that is pleaded, the personal appearance of the second and third petitioners alone before the trial Court shall stands dispensed with, except for the necessary hearings that are insisted upon by the



trial Court, provided that they must be represented by the counsel and co-operate for the further progress of the case.

WEB COPY

07-08-2026

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

DP



1. The Special Court for trial of SC/ST
(Prevention of Atrocities) Act, Perambalur.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 21673 of 2



D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

DP

CRL OP No. 21673 of 2026

07-08-2026