



WEB COPY

WP No. 31168 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 07-08-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 31168 of 2026

S.Tamilarasan
S/o late Sengottaian,
6/855, Eari Kadu,
Karumapuram 637 302
Tiruchengode Taluk,
Namakkal District

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector
Namakkal District, Namakkal
2. The District Revenue Officer
Collectorate, Namakkal
3. The Revenue Tahsildar
Tiruchengode Taluk,
Namakkal District

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: The Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to correct the nomaly in the extent as 1.50 Acres instead of 1.43 Acres in patta No. 1453 in respect of SF No 122/1B, Karumapuram village, Tiruchengode Taluk, Namakkal District by considering the petitioners representations dated 23.12.2021, 08.11.2023, 26.02.2024 and 19.10.2025.



WEB COPY

WP No. 31168 of 2



For Petitioner(s): Mr. N.Manoharan

For Respondent(s): Mr. B. Karthikeyan

Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

The present Writ Petition has been filed seeking the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to consider the petitioner's representations dated 23.12.2021, 08.11.2023, 26.02.2024 and 09.10.2025.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner's father and his brother had entered into a partition deed dated 15.06.1992 (Doc. No. 1761 of 1992). Since there was an error in the said partition deed, the petitioner's father filed O.S. No. 95 of 2018 on 20.06.2018, and the same was decreed on 08.11.2018. Thereafter, when the petitioner verified the mutation entries, he found that the extent of the subject property had been wrongly mentioned as 1.43 acres instead of '1.50 acres' in Patta No. 1453. Hence, the petitioner has been continuously submitting representations before the respondents since 2021, seeking necessary UDR correction. However, despite the lapse of several years, the said representations have not been considered till date. Challenging the inaction on the part of the respondents, the petitioner has filed the present writ petition.



3. In response, Mr.B.Karthikeyan, learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondents submitted that the second respondent, being the competent authority, would consider the petitioner's representation and pass appropriate orders thereon within a period of eight weeks.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on either side, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, directs the second respondent/District Revenue Officer, Namakkal, to consider the petitioner's representation seeking necessary UDR correction and pass appropriate orders thereon, on merits and in accordance with law, after issuing notice to the petitioner and affording him an opportunity of personal hearing, within a period of eight (8) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

5. With the above observations and directions, this Writ Petition is allowed. No costs.

07-08-2026

Asi



WEB COPY

- To
1. The District Collector
Namakkal District, Namakkal
 2. The District Revenue officer
Collectorate, Namakkal
 3. The Revenue Tahsildar
Tiruchengode Taluk,
Namakkal District.



WEB COPY

WP No. 31168 of 2



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.

Asi

WP No. 31168 of 2026

07-08-2026