



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 20332 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 29-07-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN**

**CRL OP No. 20332 of 2026**

Vinoth

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep. by, The Inspector of Police  
T-22, Medavakkam Police Station,  
Chennai.  
Crime No.264 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)


**PRAYER :** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023 to enlarge the Petitioner on Anticipatory Bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Cr.No.264 of 2026 on the file of the Inspector of Police,T-22, Medavakkam Police Station,Chennai and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): M/S. Narayana Prasadh

For Respondent(s): MR.N.PALANIVEL, GOVT.ADVOCATE  
(CRL.SIDE)

### **ORDER**

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 324(2), 132, 121(1) and 351(3) of BNS Act, 2023 r/w 4 of TNPHW Act in Crime No. 264 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that on 06.07.2026 at about 10.30pm, the petitioner/A1 along with A2 picked up quarrel with the respondent police, assaulted him and also restrained him from discharging his duty. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case and that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the Petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the Respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that no previous case is pending against the petitioner. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and perused the materials available on record.



WEB COPY

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, taking note of the submissions made by the learned counsel on both sides, it is seen that when the respondent police went to the petitioner's residence on the complaint given by her mother, the petitioner abused the police officials in a filthy language and also assaulted them. Considering the seriousness of the allegation, this Court is of the firm view that it is not appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

7. Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

**29-07-2026**

Index: Yes/No  
Speaking/Non-speaking order  
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

NR

To:

1. The Judicial Magistrate-II, Tambaram.

2. The Inspector of Police  
T-22, Medavakkam Police Station,  
Chennai.

3. The Public Prosecutor  
High Court of Madras



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 20332 of 2



**C.KUMARAPPAN, J.**

**NR**

**CRL OP No. 20332 of 2026**

**29-07-2026**