



CRP No. 3445 of 2025

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

CRP Nos. 3445 & 4049 of 2025

AND

CMP NO. 18765 OF 2025

Govinda Mandiri

... Petitioner in both CRPs

Vs

1. C.Anandan

2. A.Rajan

3. A.Prabakaran

... Respondents in both CRPs

Prayer in CRP No.3445 of 2025 : Civil Revision Petition is filed under Article 227 of Constitution of India, seeking to set aside the fair and decreetal in I.A.No.02/2023 in O.S.No.31/2017 dated 16.07.2025 passed by the Learned Principal District Munsif Court, Ambur, Tirupathur District and thereby allow the above Civil Revision Petition.

Prayer in CRP No.4049 of 2025 : Civil Revision Petition is filed under Article 227 of Constitution of India, seeking to set aside the fair and decreetal in I.A.No.03/2023 in O.S.No.31/2017 dated 16.07.2025 passed



CRP No. 3445 of 2025

WEB COPY

by the Learned Principal District Munsif Court, Ambur, Tirupathur District and thereby allow the above Civil Revision Petition.

For Petitioner : Mr.B.Gopalakrishnan

For Respondents : Ms.Elizabeth Ravi [R1]
No appearance [R2 & R3]

COMMON ORDER

Since both the Civil Revision Petitions are arising out of the very same suit in O.S.No.31 of 2017, they are disposed of by way of this common order.

2. These Civil Revision Petitions have been filed challenging the impugned common order dated 16.07.2025 passed in I.A.Nos.2 and 3 of 2023 in O.S.No.31 of 2017 on the file of the Principal District Munsif Court, Ambur, Tirupathur District.

3. In the impugned common order, the applications filed by the petitioner, who is the plaintiff in the suit, seeking amendment of the plaint as well as to re-open the evidence, has been dismissed on the ground that



CRP No. 3445 of 2025

WEB COPY

the applications were filed belatedly by the petitioner, without showing sufficient cause for the said delay.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that there became a necessity for the petitioner to file amendment application, since there is a discrepancy in the extent of the suit schedule property and since there is a change in pecuniary jurisdiction of the trial court.

5. Admittedly, the applications were filed by the petitioner only after the trial got concluded and the arguments were also completed by the respective counsels. In the written statement filed by the 1st respondent, the discrepancies with regard to the suit claim arising out of the suit schedule property were pointed out by the 1st respondent. The said written statement was filed on 11.08.2017, however the applications, which culminated in the impugned common order were filed only in the year 2023, after a lapse of six years from the date, when the written statement was filed. In the respective affidavits filed in support of the respective applications, the reason for delay given by the petitioner is that the



CRP No. 3445 of 2025

WEB COPY

petitioner was not having knowledge about the defences taken by the 1st respondent and the petitioner has also put the blame on the counsel for the delay in filing the applications. The trial court under the impugned common order has rejected the contentions of the petitioner while dismissing the applications by holding that the applications cannot be entertained, since it has been filed belatedly. It is also not in dispute that the applications were filed only after the trial got concluded in the suit and both the counsels had argued the matter. An application under Order VI Rule 17 CPC seeking amendment though can be entertained at any stage of proceedings, in cases, where the applications have been filed after issues are framed, a party seeking to amend the plaint will have to show sufficient cause by establishing due diligence.

6. In the case on hand, the petitioner has not satisfied the said test as rightly pointed out by the trial court in the impugned common order. This Court does not find any merit in these revisions as the trial court has rightly rejected the applications filed by the petitioner for the reasons stated supra.



CRP No. 3445 of 2025

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, these Civil Revision Petitions are dismissed. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

29-07-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
sp

To

The Principal District Munsif Court,
Ambur, Tirupathur District.



WEB COPY



CRP No. 3445 of 2025

ABDUL QUDDHOSE J.

sp

**CRP Nos. 3445 & 4049 of 2025
AND
CMP NO. 18765 OF 2025**

29-07-2026