



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 19480 of 2026

1. Dileep

2. Govindammal

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep By, The Inspector of Police
Kanakammachatram Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
Crime No.209 of 2025

..Respondent(s)


PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police concerned in Crime No.209 of 2025 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioner(s): M/S. R.Parthiban

For Respondent(s): MR.N.PALANIVEL, GOVT.ADVOCATE
(CRL.SIDE)

ORDER


The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offence **under Sections 108, of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, in Crime No.209 of 2025**, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant's daughter was in a love relationship with the first petitioner for about four years, which was well known to both families. Subsequently, due to certain misunderstandings between them, the deceased was allegedly under severe mental stress, and on 31.10.2025, at about 11.00 a.m., she committed suicide by hanging. Hence, the registration of the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent individuals who have been falsely implicated in this case and have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the incident occurred solely due to a misunderstanding between the deceased and the first petitioner, and there is no allegation whatsoever against the petitioners. He added that the petitioners are ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court, and accordingly, sought anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) opposed this application but fairly submitted that there are no adverse criminal antecedents against the petitioners and that the respondent police have not effected any arrest against them since October 2025. He further submitted that, although an unfortunate incident occurred on 31.10.2025, a perusal of the record reveals that there are no specific allegations against the first petitioner.



5. Considering the above factual position this Court is of the firm view that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners, subject to certain conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Tiruttani, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) each, with two sureties each, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioner(s) fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the



WEB COPY



learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner(s) in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner(s) released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***;

(e) If the petitioner(s) thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

22-07-2026

GBI

To

- 1.The Judicial Magistrate Court, Tiruttani.
- 2.The State Rep By, The Inspector of Police
Kanakammachatram Police Station,
Tiruvallur.
Crime No.209 of 2025
- 3.The Public Prosecutor, Madras High Court.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 19480 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

GBI

CRL OP No. 19480 of 2026

22-07-2026