



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

WP CrI. No. 1747 of 2026

Govindaraj

..Petitioner

Vs

1. State Tamil Nadu Represented by its
The superintendent of Police
Dharmapuri,
Dharmapuri District.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police
Pennagaram Police Sub Division,
Pennagaram,
Dharmapuri District.
3. The Inspector of Police
Eriyur Police Station,
Eriyur, Pennagaram Taluk,
Dharmapuri District.
4. Muthusamy

..Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition (Criminal) filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue Writ of Mandamus or any other writ or order or direction directing the 3rd respondent under the guise of 1st and 2nd Respondents to provide adequate police protection for fencing the property situated at Sungalnatham Village, Pennagaram Taluk and Union, Comprised in old.No.558/1 Measuring about 0.97.00 hectare, S.No.558/1B measuring about 2657 Sq.ft.or 246.84 sq.mt., approximately 6 cents out of hectare 0.09.20 which lies on the jurisdiction of SRO, Pennagaram within a time frame fixed by this Hon'ble



Court and pass such other order or orders as deem fit and proper to the circumstances of the case.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner: Mr.D.Prabu

For Respondents: Mr.R.Ganesh Kumar
Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu
(Criminal Side) for R1 to R3

ORDER

This Writ Petition (Criminal) has been filed seeking a direction to the third respondent to provide adequate police protection to enable the petitioner to put up a fence around the property comprised in old S.No.558/1, measuring an extent of 0.97.00 hectare, and S.No.558/1B measuring an extent of 0.65.83 hectare, presently comprised in Survey No.558/1B2B, measuring an extent of 2657 sq.ft. situated at Sungalnatham Village, Pennagaram Taluk.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondents 1 to 3. Perused the materials available on record.

3. A perusal of the submissions made by the learned Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu (Criminal Side) reveals that there is a civil dispute between the petitioner and the fourth respondent with regard to the subject property. Therefore, the petitioner lodged the present complaint seeking police protection for putting up a fence around the property. Since the dispute between



the petitioner and the fourth respondent is purely civil in nature, police protection cannot be granted. Therefore, this Court finds no merit in this writ petition.

4. Accordingly, this Writ Petition (Criminal) stands dismissed. However, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the Civil Court for appropriate relief. No costs.

21-07-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

LPP

To

1.The superintendent of Police
Dharmapuri,
Dharmapuri District.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police
Pennagaram Police Sub Division,
Pennagaram,
Dharmapuri District.

3.The Inspector of Police
Eriyur Police Station,
Eriyur, Pennagaram Taluk,
Dharmapuri District.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

WP CrI. No. 1747 of 2



G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN J.

LPP

WP CrI. No. 1747 of 2026

21-07-2026