



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 19302 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 24-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 19302 of 2026

and

CRL MP No. 13373 of 2026

1. Beemarajan
2. Sudhakar

..Petitioners

Vs

The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Bagayam Police Station,
Vellore District,
Tamil Nadu.
Crime No.205/2026

..Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail, pending investigation, in connection with the case in Crime No.205 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

For Petitioners:

Mr.M.Karthik

For Respondent:


Mr.S.Yogaraja Sekar
Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu
(Criminal Side)

For Intervenor:

Mr.G.Vinodh Kumar

ORDER

The petitioners, who were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on



02.07.2026 for the alleged offences under Sections 318(4), 296(b), 351 (3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS), in Crime No. 205 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seek bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners, along with the other accused, induced the de facto complainant and his father to invest a total sum of Rs.12,00,000/- in their finance concern, promising high returns. The petitioners repaid an amount of Rs. 4,00,000/-, failing to repay the balance amount of Rs. 8,00,000/-. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have been incarcerated since 02.07.2026. He further submitted that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case and that have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the Petitioners.

4. At this juncture, learned counsel for the Intervenor submitted so far, the petitioners have paid only the RS.4 lakhs. The remaining amount is yet to be paid. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the Petitioner.

5. The learned Government Advocate (CrI.Side) appearing for the



Respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and hence, opposed the grant of bail to the Petitioner.

WEB COPY

6. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and perused the materials available on record.

7. At this juncture, in order to show their bonafide, the learned counsel for the petitioners volunteered himself to deposit a sum of Rs.2,00,000/-.

8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case; taking note of the submission made by the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side); though, this case has been registered as a case of cheating, from the submissions on either side, this Court could find that this case involves money transaction. Hence, this Court is of the firm view that further incarceration of the petitioners for the purpose of investigation is not required. Hence, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to certain conditions.

9. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their executing a separate bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate -1, Vellore**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left



WEB COPY



Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] The petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on bail on condition that the petitioners shall deposit a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rupees One lakh only) each totalling Rs.2,00,000/- (Rupees Two Lakhs only) to the credit of Crime No.205 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police within a period of four weeks from the date of their release.

[c] On such deposit being made, the Trial Court shall redeposit the said amount in a Fixed Deposit Account, in any one of the Nationalised Banks, renewable thereafter periodically. The disbursal of this amount shall be decided at the culmination of the criminal case.

[d] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for the period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[e] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[f] the petitioners shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[g] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned



WEB COPY



Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[h] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

24-07-2026

SHL

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To:

1. The Judicial Magistrate -1,
Vellore

2. The Central Prison,
Vellore.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Bagayam Police Station,
Vellore District
Tamil Nadu

4. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 19302 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN J.

SHL

**CRL OP No. 19302 of 2026
and
CRL MP No. 13373 of 2026**

24-07-2026