



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-07-2026

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 28805 of 2026

R.Thirunavukkarasu
S/O.Ramasamy
1/26,Velliyampalayam
C.Gudalur East Bit I Village
Aravakurichi Taluk
Karur District

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Revenue Tahsildar
Kangayam Taluk
Tiruppur District

..Respondent(s)

Prayer:


Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned order 17.6.2026 made in File No.2026/0105/32/018272 passed by the respondent quash the same and consequently to direct the respondent to effect sub division and grant a separate patta for 5.70 acres (2.30.68 Hectares) comprised in SF No.919/A of Senapathipalayam village Kangayam Taluk, Tiruppur District by considering the petitioners Online application dated 6.5.2026

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.R.Darshan

For Respondent(s):

Mr.B.Pachaiyappan, Govt. counsel



Order

This writ petition has been filed challenging the impugned order dated


17.06.2026 passed by the respondent.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that in this case, the petitioner's application, for sub-division and issuance of separate patta, was rejected by the respondent vide impugned order dated 17.06.2026. While passing the said order, the respondent had neither afford any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner nor assigned any reason for rejection of petitioner's application, which is violation of principles of natural justice. Hence, this petition.

3. In reply, the learned counsel appearing for the respondents had confirmed the submissions made by the petitioner and requests this Court to pass appropriate orders.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondents and also perused the entire materials available on record.

5. In the case on hand, upon perusal of the impugned order, it is clear that the said order was passed as a non-speaking order, without affording any opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

6. Normally, when the respondent intends to pass any adverse order, it is mandatory to provide sufficient opportunity to the concerned parties. However, the impugned order came to be passed without providing any such opportunities to the petitioner. In such case, it is clear that the said impugned order is passed in violation of principles of natural justice and the same is liable to be set aside.

7. In view of the above, the impugned order dated 17.06.2026 is hereby set aside and the matter is remitted back to the respondent for re-consideration. The respondent is directed to issue notice and afford sufficient opportunity to the petitioner and thereafter, pass a detailed speaking order, on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of 6 weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

8. With the above directions, this writ petition is disposed of. No cost.

23-07-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

nsa

To
The Revenue Tahsildar
Kangayam Taluk
Tiruppur District



WEB COPY

WP No. 28805 of 2



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY J.

NSA

WP No. 28805 of 2026

23-07-2026