



WEB COPY

WP CrI. No. 1688 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 15-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

WP CrI. No. 1688 of 2026

Arumugam

..Petitioner

Vs

1. The Assistant Commissioner of Police
Triplicane Range,
Triplicane,
Chennai.
2. The Inspector of Police
D1, Triplicane Law and Order Police Station,
Triplicane, Chennai.

..Respondents

Prayer : Writ Petition (Criminal) filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ in the nature of Writ of Mandamus or order or direction by directing the respondents to give adequate police protection as per the order in E.P. No.168/2026, in RLTOP No.131/2023, dated 10-06-2026 within a week to execute the order by this Honorable Court.

For Petitioner: Mr.M.Muruganantham

For Respondents: Mr.R.Ganesh Kumar
Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu
(Criminal Side)

ORDER

This Writ Petition (Criminal) has been filed seeking a direction to the respondents to provide adequate police protection to the petitioner for the




effective implementation of the order dated 10.06.2026 passed in E.P. No.168 of 2026 in RLTOP No.131 of 2023.

WEB COPY

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials available on record.

3. It is the case of the petitioner is that she is the owner of the subject premises and had let out the same to a tenant. Since the tenant failed to vacate the premises and continued to occupy the property without paying rent for a long period, the petitioner filed RLTOP No.131 of 2023 before the XI Court of small Causes, Chennai seeking eviction of the tenant. The said petition was not contested by the respondent. Consequently, by order dated 01.11.2025, the learned Judge directed the respondent to vacate the premises and hand over vacant possession to the petitioner within a period of two months. Even then the tenant failed to vacate the premises and as such, the petitioner was constrained to file E.P. No.168 of 2026 in RLTOP No.131 of 2023 on the file of the XI Court of Small Causes, Chennai. When the bailiff visited the property to execute the delivery warrant, the tenant obstructed and refused to vacate the premises. Therefore, the petitioner filed E.A.No.3 of 2026 seeking permission to break open the premises, which was allowed by order dated 24.04.2026. Thereafter, the petitioner filed another application in E.A.No.4 of 2026 seeking police aid and the same was also allowed by order dated 24.04.2026. Even



then, the second respondents did not provide adequate police protection to the bailiff for executing the delivery warrant and handing over vacant possession of the premises of the petitioner.

4. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the second respondent is directed to provide adequate police protection to the bailiff for executing the delivery warrant and implementing the order passed in E.A.Nos.3 & 4 of 2026 in E.P.No.168 of 2022 in RLTOP No.131 of 2023 on the file of the XI Court of Small Causes, Chennai, forthwith.

5. With the above direction, this Writ Petition (Criminal) stands disposed of. No costs.

15-07-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

LPP

To

1.The Assistant Commissioner of Police
Triplicane Range,
Triplicane,
Chennai.

2.The Inspector of Police
D1, Triplicane Law and Order Police Station,
Triplicane, Chennai.



WP CrI. No. 1688 of 2



G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN J.

LPP

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

WP CrI. No. 1688 of 2026

15-07-2026