



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 15-07-2026

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

CRL OP No. 18789 of 2026

S.Pradheep
S/o Subramanian,
Green Gokulam Apartments,
F3 First Floor, Flat No.11 & 13,
Sivaprakasam Nagar, 2nd Street,
Madipakkam, Chennai – 600 078.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State Rep By, The Inspector of Police
EDF I, team II, Central Crime Branch,
Chennai.
(Crime No.40 of 2020)

2. BSH House Hold Appliances
Manufacture Pvt Ltd.,
Rep by its Authorised Representative,
Thirukumaran Kandasamy,
4th Floor, South Tower,
KRM Plaza, No.2 Harrington Road,
Chetpet, Chennai – 600 031.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to call for the records relating to CC No.4306 of 2020 pending on the file of Learned Metropolitan Magistrate for Exclusive Trial of CCB Cases relating to cheating Cases in Chennai and CBCID Metro Cases, Egmore, Chennai.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.A.M.Amutha Ganesh

For Respondent(s):

Mr.R.Rajasekaran
Government Counsel (Crl. Side) for R1



ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition seeks to quash C.C.No. 4306 of 2020 on the file of the learned Metropolitan Magistrate for Exclusive Trial of CCB Cases relating to cheating cases in Chennai and CBCID Metro Cases, Egmore, Chennai, for alleged offences under Sections 408, 465, 468, 471, 420 r/w. 34 of IPC, on the ground of compromise between the parties. The parties are present before the court and, on examination, stand by their compromise and do not want the case to proceed further.

2. The broad contours of the High Court's power to exercise extraordinary jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Cr.p.c. and Section 528 of the BNSS in respect of compromise of non-compoundable offences are laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Gian Singh -Vs- State of Punjab and Another* (2012 10 SCC 303) and other subsequent judgments.

3. The gist of facts leading to the instant case is that the parties were known to each other and in the transaction between the Company and the customers, it is alleged that the accused misappropriated and cheated the amount. It is submitted that subsequently the parties have ironed out the differences and the issue has been resolved. As a matter of fact, with reference to the connected co-accused in Crl.O.P.No. 25610 of 2025, already the case has been quashed.



4. Thus, it can be seen that this matter is a fit case for the exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction to quash the case on compromise.

WEB COPY

5. Accordingly, this Crl.O.P. No.18789 of 2026 stands allowed. The proceedings in C.C.No.4306 of 2020 on the file of the Metropolitan Magistrate for Exclusive Trial of CCB Cases relating to Cheating Cases in Chennai and CBCID Metro Cases, Egmore, Chennai stands quashed. The Trial Court is requested to return the original title deed deposited by the petitioner while furnishing bail bond.

15-07-2026

Neutral Citation: No

KKN

To

1. The Inspector of Police
EDF I, team II, Central Crime Branch,
Chennai.
2. The Metropolitan Magistrate for
Exclusive Trial of CCB Cases relating to
Cheating Cases in Chennai and
CBCID Metro Cases, Egmore, Chennai.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 18789 of 2



D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

KKN

CRL OP No. 18789 of 2026

15-07-2026