



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-07-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

WP CrI. No. 1765 of 2026

S.Kadhar Meeran

..Petitioner

Vs

1. The Superintendent of Police
District Police Office,
Krishnagiri,
Krishnagiri District 635 109.
2. The Deputy Superintendent of Police
DSP Office,
Hosur,
Krishnagiri District 635 109.
3. The Inspector of Police
HUDCO Police Station,
Krishnagiri Road,
Hosur,
Krishnagiri District 635 109.
4. P. Arumugam
5. M. Shanmugam
6. A.S. Abdul Rahman Bari
7. A. Chellappa
8. M. Rajesh
9. R.N. Velumani
- 10.B. Senthil Kumar
- 11.M. Velu

..Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition Criminal filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a WRIT OF MANDAMUS directing the 1st to 3rd



respondents not to interfere in the Labour and trade Union Dispute and not to harass the Petitioner and consider the representation dated 08-06-2026.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner:

Mr.G.Mohammed Aseef

For Respondents:

Mr.R.Ganesh Kumar

Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu (CrI.Side)


For R1 to R3

ORDER

This Writ Petition (Criminal) has been filed seeking a direction to the third respondent not to interfere in the labour and trade union dispute, not to harass the petitioner and to consider the petitioner's representation dated 08.06.2026.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondents 1 to 3. Perused the materials available on record.

3. It is seen that there is a dispute between the petitioner and trade union in relation to labour issues. In this regard, the third respondent, without even issuing any summons, conducted an enquiry. It is the grievance of the petitioner that the dispute is a labour dispute between the petitioner and the trade union and therefore, the third respondent has no role to play in the adjudication of such dispute unless any cognizable offence is disclosed.



4. On instruction, the learned Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondents 1 to 3 submitted that, based on the complaint received, the third respondent conducted an enquiry, in which the petitioner was also appeared before third respondent and the complaint was also closed on the very same day.


5. In view of the above, the third respondent is directed not to interfere with the dispute between the labour and the trade union in future. However, if any cognizable offence is committed by any person, the third respondent is at liberty to register an FIR and proceed against the persons concerned in accordance with law.

6. With the above direction, this Writ Petition (Criminal) stands disposed of. No costs.

23-07-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

LPP



To

WEB COPY

1.The Superintendent of Police
District Police Office,
Krishnagiri,
Krishnagiri District 635 109.

2.The Deputy Superintendent of Police
DSP Office,
Hosur,
Krishnagiri District 635 109.

3.The Inspector of Police
HUDCO Police Station,
Krishnagiri Road,
Hosur,
Krishnagiri District 635 109.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras,
Chennai.



WEB COPY

WP CrI. No. 1765 of 2



G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN J.

LPP

WP CrI. No. 1765 of 2026

23-07-2026