



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

CRL OP No. 18111 of 2026

Sivachandran

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
J-13, Tharamani Police Station,
Tharamani,
Chennai - 600 113.
Crime No.201 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.201 of 2026 pending investigation on the file the respondent Police.

For Petitioner(s):	Mr.S.N.Arunkumar
For Respondent(s):	M/s.R.S.Indira
	Government Advocate (Criminal side)
	Mr.T.V.Suresh Kumar for Intervenor

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Section 316 (4) and 344 of BNS, 2023, in Cr.No.201 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner misappropriated defacto-complainant's company funds to the tune of Rs.4,62,185/- by manipulating purchase orders in collusion with the vendor . Hence, the case.



3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent person and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court and is willing to co-operate for investigation. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned counsel for the intervenor submitted that the petitioner was employed as a Senior Executive at the defacto-complainant's Company. During his tenure, he received a sum of Rs.4,14,000/- from Agastheeswar Scientific, one of the company's vendors by manipulating purchase orders. Hence, he strongly opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5..At this juncture, the learned counsel for the petitioner voluntarily submitted that the petitioner, in order to show his bonafide, is ready and willing to deposit a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- (Rupees Five Lakhs only) to the credit of Crime No.201 of 2026 without prejudice to his defence.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the submission that the petitioner is willing to deposit an amount of Rs.5,00,000/- to the credit of Crime No.201 of 2026 and since custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with certain



WEB COPY

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of **Rs.5,00,000/- [Rupees Five Lakhs Only]** to the credit of Crime No.201 of 2026 before the trial Court, on such deposit and production of proof, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **XVIII Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Saidapet**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of four weeks; thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned



Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

17-07-2026

ep

To

1.XVIII Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Saidapet.

2.The Inspector of Police,
J-13, Tharamani Police Station,
Tharamani,
Chennai - 600 113.

3.The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.

**CRL OP No. 18111 of 2026
(1/2)**