



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 17-07-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN**

**CRL OP No. 18242 of 2026**

Cheran M  
S/o.Madeshwaran,  
D.No.608, Rayakottai Hatco,  
Hosur, Krishnagiri.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Represented by The Inspector of Police  
SIPCOT Police Station,  
Crime No. 202 of 2026  
Krishnagiri District.

..Respondent(s)

**PRAYER:** Criminal Miscellaneous Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the even of arrest in Crime NO. 202 of 2026 on the file of Respondent Police and pass such further or other order as may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render Justice.

|                    |                                            |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner(s): | Mr.Bharanidharan S                         |
| For Respondent(s): | MS.R.S.INDIRA,<br>GOVT.ADVOCATE (CRL.SIDE) |

**ORDER**

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 329(4), 296(b), 351(2), 308(2) and 127(2) of BNS, 2023 read with Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002 and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Charging Exorbitant Interest Act, 2003 in



Crime No.202 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that, despite repayment of the loan, the petitioner demanded a further sum of Rs.1.07 crores, threatened the complainant's husband, trespassed into the complainant's house, and compelled him to transfer Rs.20,50,000/- to the account of M/s.Urban Constructions. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that while the defacto complainant's husband borrowed money, they have failed to disclose the exact principal amount advanced on different dates or provide a complete statement of accounts. He further submitted that the co-accused has already been released on bail by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that extortion is involved and the crore issue arises from the financial transactions between the petitioner and the defacto complainant's husband. She further submitted that



the petitioner was found in possession of various promissory notes and signed black cheques obtained from the defacto complainant. Hence, she opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given anxious consideration to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made by the learned counsel for both sides, and further taking note of the fact that the issue arises out of a money transaction between the parties and that the co-accused has already been released on bail by this Court in Crl.OP.No.14570 of 2026 dated 12.06.2026, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Hosur**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on



further condition that:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;**

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

DRL

17-07-2026



WEB COPY

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II,  
Hosur.

2.The Inspector of Police  
SIPCOT Police Station,  
Krishnagiri District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 18242 of 2



**G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.**

**DRL**

**CRL OP No. 18242 of 2026  
(2/2)**

**17-07-2026**