



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 17915 of 2026

1. Karthi Madhavan
No 34 Ramanujam Koodam Street Ponamallee
Thiruvallur
2. Madhavan @ Madu
S/o Parthasarathy Pillai,
No 34 Ramanujam Koodam Street,
Ponamallee,
Thiruvallur

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep by the Inspector of Police
Poonamallee Police Station,
Avadi Police Commissionerate,
Tiruvallur District.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Criminal Miscellaneous Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the Petitioners/Accused Nos. 1 and 2 on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No. 319 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Inspector of Police, Poonamallee Police Station, Avadi District, pending investigation and grant anticipatory bail and pass such other or further orders as this Honble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case and thus render Justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Abudukumar Rajaratham, Senior Counsel for
Mr.Kadambri Suresh

For Respondent(s): MS.R.S.INDIRA,
GOVT.ADVOCATE (CRL.SIDE)

For Intervener : Mr.D.Senthil



ORDER


WEB COPY

The petitioners apprehend arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(2), 191(1) and 191(2) of BNS, 2023 read with Section 3 of the TNPPDL Act, in Crime No.319 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police seek anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that due to family dispute, on the date of the alleged occurrence, the petitioners waylaid the defacto complainant, abused him in filthy language, and also assaulted him with hands, thereby causing injuries to him. Hence, the case was registered

3. The learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the defacto complainant and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioners have no criminal antecedents and that the injured has got discharged from the hospital. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned counsel for the intervener submitted that the petitioners attacked the de facto complainant and caused severe injuries, resulting in his hospitalisation for two days. He further submitted that the petitioners damaged



the vehicle and, hence, prayed for the dismissal of the anticipatory bail petition

WEB COPY

5. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that the petitioners have no criminal antecedents. However, she opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and looking at the factual position, it is seen that the occurrence took place on 03.07.2026, and the FIR was registered on 04.07.2026 and that the injured has got discharged from the hospital on the next day and that the petitioners have no criminal antecedents. Hence, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail subject to certain conditions. At this juncture, the learned counsel for the petitioner voluntarily undertakes to pay a sum of Rs.50,000/- to the credit of Crime No.319 of 2026 without prejudice to the right of the defence before the Trial Court.

8. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from



the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Poonamallee**, on condition that **the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)**, with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioners shall deposit a sum of Rs.50,000/- [Rupees Fifty Thousand Only] (each Rs.25,000/-) to the credit of Crime No.319 of 2026 before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Poonamallee, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On such deposit being made, the defacto complainant is permitted to withdraw the said amount by filing proper application and due acknowledgment.**

(d) **The first petitioner shall report before the respondent Police as and when required for interrogation. The second petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;**



WEB COPY



(e) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***;

(f) If the petitioners thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

28-07-2026

DRL

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Poonamallee.

2.The Inspector of Police
Poonamallee Police Station,
Avadi Police Commissionerae,
Tiruvallur District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 17915 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

DRL

**CRL OP No. 17915 of 2026
(2/2)**

28-07-2026