



W.P.No.28382 of 2026

WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23.07.2026

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI,
CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ARUL MURUGAN

W.P.No.28382 of 2026


Bank of Baroda
Rep. by its Authorised Officer
703, Poonamallee High Road
Aminjikarai, Chennai 600 029
Now rep. by Chief Manager
ROSARB, No.10, C.P.Ramasamy Road
Alwarpet, Chennai 600 018.

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. Subburaj
S/o.Kondusamy
Prop. M/s.Harini Construction
1/112, Melathaniyam Main Road
Kaarayur, Ponnamaravathy Taluk
Pudukottai 622402.

2. R.Sundarraaj
S/o.Subburaj
Prop. M/s.Devi Construction
1/112, Melathaniyam Main Road
Kaarayur, Ponnamaravathy Taluk
Pudukottai 622402.



W.P.No.28382 of 2026

WEB COPY

3. K.Ramesh

S/o.Late P.Krishnan Naicker,
Flat No.B-5, Subhiksham Apartment
New No.16, Old No.9, East Mada Street Lane
Aminjikarai, Chennai 600029.

4. S.Shyamala Gowri

No.43/52, Oor Gounder Street,
Maruthapuram
Coimbatore 641 046.

.. Respondents

Prayer : Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ of Mandamus directing the Hon'ble Debt Recovery Tribunal - II, Chennai, to expeditiously dispose of the pending Securitisation Application No.479 of 2023, filed by the 3rd Respondent, within a time frame to be fixed by this Honble Court.

For Petitioner : Mrs.Revathi Manivannan

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by
the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a direction to the Debts Recovery Tribunal-II, Chennai, to take up and dispose of S.A.No.479 of 2023, within a time frame fixed by this Court.



W.P.No.28382 of 2026

WEB COPY

2. We have gone through the order sheets placed on record and find that the case is progressing. Any direction to expedite a specific case out of turn would disrupt the board of the Tribunal. Petitioner has failed to demonstrate any exceptional circumstance that distinguishes its case from other litigants waiting in queue before the Tribunal. The Tribunal should prioritize cases based on their seniority, nature of urgency and statutory mandate. It is empowered to regulate its procedure and accelerate hearings if a genuine case of urgency is made out. Issuing blanket direction for quick disposal would put undue pressure on the Tribunal and, consequently, the persons who approached the Tribunal earlier would be left at a disadvantaged position.

3. We are, therefore, not inclined to give such a direction to decide the case within a time frame. However, petitioner would be at liberty to file appropriate application before the Tribunal to expedite the hearing.



W.P.No.28382 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. With the aforesaid liberty, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI,CJ) (G.ARUL MURUGAN,J)
23.07.2026

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No

kpl



WEB COPY



W.P.No.28382 of 2026

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
G.ARUL MURUGAN,J.

(kpl)

W.P.No.28382 of 2026

23.07.2026