



WEB COPY

CMP No. 4254 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 15-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S. M. SUBRAMANIAM

AND


THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CMP No. 4254 of 2025

in

WA.No.1518 of 2021

1. R.Somasundaram
2. A.S.Kaliyamoorthy,
3. S.David
4. O.R.Kaliyamoorthy
5. S.Mariyaprakasam
6. P.Janakiraman
7. M.Balasubramaniyan
8. L.Parthasarathy
9. R.T.Rajan
- 10.A.L.Mohamed Nazeer
- 11.A.Ponnurangan
- 12.R.S.Sundaram
- 13.R.Manickam
- 14.N.Chakrapani
- 15.S.Gajalakshmi
- 16.R.Lourduswamy
- 17.R.Venugopal



18. U.B.Lional

19. N.Gnanavel

20. M.Dhanaraj

21. R.Ramalingam

22. C.Devarajan

..Appellant(s)

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu
Rep. by its Principal Secretary to Government,
Finance (PGC) Department, Fort St. George,
Chennai-9
2. The Commissioner of Treasuries
and Accounts, Nanthanam Near Veterinary
Hospital, Nanthanam, Chennai
3. The Treasury Officer,
Cuddalore, Cuddalore District.

..Respondent(s)

To pass an order of clarification to the Judgment dated 14.06.2024 directing the Secretary to Government, Finance Department, Director of Treasuries and Accounts, Chennai-600009 and Treasury Officer, Cuddalore to revise the reduced pension with effect from 01.01.1996 as per G.O.No.174 Finance dated 21.04.1998 to the pensioners covered by the G.O.No.363, Finance dated 23.08.2013 with further revision of pension by Government and to make payment of arrears within a date fixed by this Court, since all the pensioners covered by the G.O.No.363, dated 23.08.2013 are more than 80 years old.

For Appellant(s):

Mr.A.R.Nixon

For Respondent(s):

Dr.R.Gouri
Government Counsel

**ORDER****(Order of the Court was made by S.M.Subramaniam J.)**

WEB COPY

The present clarification petition has been instituted seeking a direction to the Secretary to Government, Finance Department, Director of Treasuries and Accounts, Chennai-600009 and Treasury Officer, Cuddalore to revise the reduced pension with effect from 01.01.1996 as per G.O.No.174 Finance dated 21.04.1998 to the pensioners covered by the G.O.No.363, Finance dated 23.08.2013 with further revision of pension by Government and to make payment of arrears within a date fixed by this Court, since all the pensioners covered by the G.O.No.363, dated 23.08.2013 are more than 80 years old.

2. This Court considered the issues on merits and passed the following orders:

“The grievances of the Writ petitioners are that the said Government order has not been implemented properly. The learned counsel appearing for the appellants would vehemently contend that the benefits extended under G.O. Ms. No.363 Finance (PGC) department dated 23.08.2013 is not proper and the authorities have not extended the benefits as directed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India. The calculations made by the Pay and Accounts Office and the respective Treasuries are not in accordance with the Government order passed to implement the order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court




WEB COPY



of India. We cannot re-adjudicate the issues already decided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.. The issues are related to the retired employees, who retired from service in between 01.06.1988 and 31.12.1995. The Government Order in G.O. Ms. No.363 was issued in the year 2013 and thereafter, the revision of the pension was made pursuant to further pay Commissions also.

4. In view of the facts and circumstances, the order passed implementing the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India cannot be interfered with by this Court and more so, the Hon'ble Supreme Court's order has been implemented by the Government and if at all any error committed by the Treasury officers or Pay and Accounts Office in calculating the benefits, it is for the aggrieved individual pensioners to approach the Treasury officer or Pay & Accounts Officer concerned for necessary clarifications or to verify the correctness of the fixation made with reference to the Government Order issued in G.O. Ms. No.363 Finance (PGC) department dated 23.08.2013. Therefore, the relief as such sought for in the Writ petitions and Writ appeals cannot be granted by this Court and consequently all the Writ appeals are disposed of. It is needless to state that the fixation of pension or revision of pension made in accordance with the pay rules and Government orders in force, need not be reduced."



3. This Court passed an order to fix the pay admissible to the employees as well as consequential pension. Thus, no further clarification is required. If any pensioner/family pensioner is aggrieved, they may approach the competent authority.

4. With the above clarification, the civil miscellaneous petition is dismissed.

(S.M.S.,J.) (C.K.,J.)
15-07-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

GD

To

1. The State of Tamilnadu
Rep. by its Principal Secretary to Government,
Finance (PGC) Department, Fort St. George,
Chennai-9
2. The Commissioner of Treasuries
and Accounts, Nanthanam Near Veterinary
Hospital, Nanthanam, Chennai
3. The Treasury Officer,
Cuddalore, Cuddalore District.



WEB COPY

CMP No. 4254 of 2



**S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.
AND
C.KUMARAPPAN, J.**

GD

CMP No. 4254 of 2025

15-07-2026