



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20-07-2026


CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

CRL OP No. 19250 of 2026

1. Ajay
S/o. Nagaraj,
398, M.Block, S.V.M. Nagar,
Perambur, Barracks,
Chennai-600 012.
2. Suresh
S/o. Palani,
30,Nehru Nagar, 3rd Street,
Puliyar Thoppu, Perambur, Barracks,
Chennai-600 012.
3. Ajith Kumar
S/o. Janakiraman,
57, Janakiraman,
57, Pogi Palyam, Pattalam,
Perambur, Barracks,
Chennai-600 012.
4. Kishore Kumar
S/o. Iyyanar, No.306, 3rd Street,
Elephantgate, Sowcarpet,
Chennai-600001.
5. V.Manoj
S/o. Venkatesan,
No.81, Corporation Small line,
Bogipalayam, Pattalam,
Perambur Barracks,
Chennai-600 012.
6. Sanjay
S/o. Palani,
3, Sivarao Road, Pattalam,
Perambur, Barracks, Chennai-600012.



7. Jayaprakash
S/o. Janakiraman,
57, Pogipalyam, Pattalam,
Perambur, Barracks,
Chennai-600 012.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. State rep by Inspector of Police
P1- Pulianthope Police Station,
Chennai District.
Crime No. 194 of 2026

2. Manikandan
S/o. Mayandi,
No.16, Masque Street,
Pattalam, Pulianthope,
Chennai-600 012.

..Respondent(s)


Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., r/w. 528 of BNSS, to call for the records relating to Crime No.194 of 2026 on the file of respondent and compromise quash the same and pass such further or other orders as this Honble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render Justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.T.Balaji

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Rajasekaran
Government Counsel (Crl. Side) for R1

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition seeks to quash Crime No.194 of 2026 on the file of the 1st respondent Police for alleged offences under Sections 191(2),




191(3), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3), 329(3), 324(2) of BNS and Section 4 of TNPWH Act, on the ground of compromise between the parties. The parties are present before the court and, on examination, stand by their compromise and do not want the case to proceed further.

2. The broad contours of the High Court's power to exercise extraordinary jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Cr.p.c. and Section 528 of the BNSS in respect of compromise of non-compoundable offences are laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Gian Singh -Vs- State of Punjab and Another* (2012 10 SCC 303) and other subsequent judgments.

3. The brief facts leading to case is that the accused are said to have occupying the road and were also having liquor and, upon being confronted by the de facto complainant, they threatened and also attacked the de facto complainant. After a few minutes time, they also followed the de facto complainant to his home and entered his home and thereafter, they attacked him again. It is stated that the parties have ironed out the issue.

4. Thus, it can be seen that this matter is a fit case for the exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction to quash the case on compromise.




5. Accordingly, this Crl.O.P. No. 19250 of 2026 stands allowed. The Crime No.194 of 2026 on the file of the 1st respondent / Inspector of Police, P1-Pulianthope Police Station, Chennai stands quashed.

20-07-2026

Neutral Citation: No

KKN



To

1. The Inspector of Police
P1- Pulianthope Police Station,
Chennai District.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 19250 of 2



D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

KKN

CRL OP No. 19250 of 2026

20-07-2026