



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 15-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

CRL OP No. 18540 2026

and

CRL MP No. 12346 of 2026

Korinkumar
S/o. Mani,
No.4/471, Ellayamman Kovil Street,
Keeachapadappai,
Kanchipuram District - 601 301.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. State Rep By, The Inspector of Police
AWPS Sriperumbudur,
Kancheepuram District.
(Cr.No.7/2020)


2. Pavithra
D/o. Ellappan,
No.1/252, Theruveethiyamman Kovil Street,
Karasangal, Padappai,
Kanchipuram District 601 301.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, to call for the records pertaining to the proceeding in Spl SC No.117 of 2025 on the Principal District and Sessions Court, Kancheepuram against the petitioner and quash the same and pass such other orders as this Honorable Court.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.D.Magesh

For Respondent(s): Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz
Government Advocate (Crl. Side) for R1



Order

This Criminal Original Petition seeks to quash Spl.SC.No. 117 of 2025 on the file of the Principal District and Sessions Court, Kancheepuram, for alleged offences under Sections 376(1), 363, 366, 376(2)(n), 506(2), 376(2)(h), 450 of IPC Sections 4(1), 6(1) of the *Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012*, (the POCSO Act) on the ground of compromise between the parties. The de facto complainant is present before the Court and press that the case can be quashed on compromise. The parties, on examination, would stand by their compromise.

2. This is a case under POCSO Act and this Court will not go on the version of the prosecutrix alone. However, it can be seen that in this case, originally the police suspected the child to have gone away. The police suspected the petitioner as the offender and his name was included. However, it is now submitted before this Court that the de facto complainant and A2 / petitioner in Crl.O.P.No.18544 of 2026 are only having a relationship and subsequently they have also married and, on their representation, this case can also be quashed.

3. It is also brought to the notice that when the child was born, DNA test was also done which pointed out only towards A2 / Veeraragavan alias Veera. Under the said circumstances, firstly, no offence at all is made out as against



this petitioner and secondly, in view of the fact that the de facto complainant and the A2, who is said to be the person responsible for the sexual assault on the victim child have subsequently living as husband and wife and that the child is also born to them and, in view of the compromise between the parties, this case can be quashed as against this petitioner also.

4. For all the above reasons, the Crl.O.P.No.18540 of 2026 is allowed. The final report and all further proceedings in Spl.S.C.No.117 of 2025 stands quashed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

15-07-2026

Neutral Citation: No

KKN

To

1. The Inspector of Police
AWPS Sriperumbudur,
Kancheepuram District.
2. The Principal District and Sessions Court,
Kancheepuram.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 18540 of 2



D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY J.

KKN

CRL OP No. 18540 of 2026

15-07-2026