



WEB COPY

Cont.P.No.2863 of 2026



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 07.08.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.VINOD KUMAR

Cont.P.No.2863 of 2026

G.Vidhyalakshmi,
W/o. Umakanthan,
No.C131, Karthikeyan Salai,
Periyar Nagar, Chennai – 600 082.

... Petitioner

vs

1. C.Samayamoorthy, I.A.S.,
The Secretary,
Personnel and Administrative
Reforms (M) Department,
Chennai – 600 009.

2. B.Muruges, I.A.S.,
The Secretary,
State Human Rights Commission,
No.143, P.S.Kumarasamy Raja Salai,
Greenways Road, Chennai – 600 028.

... Respondents

Prayer: Contempt Petition is filed under Section 11 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, to punish the respondents herein for Contempt of Court for willful disobedience of the orders of this Court passed in W.P.No.10692 of 2020 order dated 28.04.2025.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.V.Sanjeevkumar
For Respondents : Mr.S.Ilamvaludhi

ORDER



Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Special Government Pleader appearing on behalf of the respondents and perused the records.

2. The petitioner has filed the present contempt petition alleging that the respondents have wilfully disobeyed in complying with the order of this Court dated 28.04.2025 in W.P.No.10692 of 2020.

3. The petitioner has approached this Court by filing the subject writ petition seeking to call for the records on the file of the second respondent in Office Proceedings No.88 dated 09.07.2020 and to quash the same.

4. This Court while considering the aforesaid relief sought for in the writ petition had allowed the writ petition and quashed the proceedings.

5. The petitioner submits that though the respondents, having regard to the direction contained in the orders of this Court, promoted the petitioner as Assistant on 05.11.2025, the respondents did not grant the monetary benefit due to him in the said post. However, it is to be noted that the petitioner, while approaching this Court by the said writ petition, did not



even ask for consequential benefit on quashing the said order, for this Court now to hold that the respondents having failed to comply with the order of this Court wilfully.

WEB COPY

6. If only the said claim of the the petitioner is now permitted to be raised by way of present contempt petition, it not only enlarges the scope of the relief sought for and granted in the writ petition but would also be contrary to Section 9 of the Contempt Act.

7. For the said reasons, this contempt petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

07.08.2026

dh

To

1. C.Samayamoorthy, I.A.S.,
The Secretary,
Personnel and Administrative
Reforms (M) Department,
Chennai – 600 009.
2. B.Muruges, I.A.S.,
The Secretary,
State Human Rights Commission,
No.143, P.S.Kumarasamy Raja Salai,
Greenways Road, Chennai – 600 028.



WEB COPY

Cont.P.No.2863 of 2026



T. VINOD KUMAR, J.

dh

Cont.P.No.2863 of 2026

07.08.2026