



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19-08-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE K. GOVINDARAJAN THILAKAVADI

A No. 3095 of 2026

IN

C.S.No. 134 of 2025

Mr. S. Haribabu
S/o.Late. B.Sankaran Naidu,
No.4/B, 3rd Street, Gandhi Nagar,
Keelkattalai, Old Pallavaram,
Chennai-600 117.

..Applicant(s)

Vs

1. Ms. Subba Rathnam Ammal,
W/o.Late. B.Sankaran Naidu,
4/9, Anna Street, Ethiraj Nagar,
West Mambalam, Chennai-600 033.
ALSO AT
No.26/50, Nammalwar Street,
Sowcarpet, Chennai-600 079.
2. Mr.Prabhu Raj
S/o.Late. B.Sankaran Naidu,
4/9, Anna Street, Ethiraj Nagar,
West Mambalam, Chennai-600033.
ALSO AT
No.26/50, Nammalwar Street,
Sowcarpet, Chennai-600 079.
3. S.Hema Kumar
S/o.Late.B.Sankaran Naidu,
Tummikapalli gate (Near Vagdevi College)
Tummikapalli Village, Kotha Valasa Monpalam,
Vijaya Nagarm D.T.,
Andhra Pradesh-535 183
4. Ms.S.Nirmala Devi
D/o.Late.B.Sankaran Naidu,
Old.No.81, West Jones Road,
West Saidapet,
Chennai-600 015.

..Respondent(s)



Prayer: This application filed Under Order XIV Rule 8 of High Court Original Side rules read with Section 5 of Limitation Act, praying to condone the delay of 81 days that occurred in filing the Reply Statement to the Written Statement cum Counter Claim filed by the defendants in C.S.No.134 of 2025 on the file of this Court and thus render Justice.

For Applicant(s): Mr.N. Premkumar

For Respondent(s): Mr.N.Siva Prakash

ORDER

This application has been filed seeking to condone the delay of 81 days in filing the Reply Statement to the Written Statement cum Counter Claim filed by the defendants in C.S.No.134 of 2025.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the applicant / plaintiff. The learned counsel appearing for the respondents / defendants raised serious objections by filing a counter affidavit, contending that there is no *bona fide* reason for allowing the application and that the application has been filed at a belated stage. It is further contended that the intention behind filing the delay petition is to protract the disposal of the suit.



3. However, considering the interest of justice and the reasons stated in the affidavit filed in support of this application, and being satisfied with the same, this application is allowed.

4. The Registry is directed to receive the Reply statement filed by the applicant / plaintiff.

19-08-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

av



WEB COPY

A No. 3095 of 2



K.GOVINDARAJAN THILAKAVADI, J.

av

**A No. 3095 of 2026
IN
C.S.No. 134 of 2025**

19-08-2026

(2/3)