



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08-07-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

WP No. 26157 of 2026

Malliga


..Petitioner

Vs

1. The District Collector,
Salem District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Sankari, Salem District.
3. The Tahsildar,
Edappadi Taluk,
Salem District.
4. The Vilalge Administrative Officer,
Nedungulam Village,
Edappadi Taluk,
Salem District-637 107.
5. Venkadeshwara Moorthi

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: This writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the 2nd respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 13.01.2026 and cancel the Patta vide No.1914 standing exclusively in the name of the 5th respondent and consequently issue a joint Patta in favour of all the legal heirs of Late.Sengottaian in respect of the lands comprised in survey Nos.182/1A, 182/1B, 182/1C, 182/1D, 182/1E, 182/1F, 182/1G, 187/3A and 187/3B, measuring a total of 7 Acres and 25 cents situated at Nedungulam village,



Edappadi Taluk, Salem District.

WEB COPY

For Petitioner : Mr. K.V.Muthu Visakan


For Respondents : Mr. B. Pachaiyappan,
Government's Counsel

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed to direct the second respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 13.01.2026.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner has made a representation dated 13.01.2026 before the second respondent to cancel the Patta vide No.1914 standing exclusively in the name of the 5th respondent and consequently issue a joint Patta in favour of all the legal heirs of Late.Sengottaian in respect of the lands comprised in survey Nos.182/1A, 182/1B, 182/1C, 182/1D, 182/1E, 182/1F,182/1G, 187/3A and 187/3B, meausring a total of 7 Acres and 25 cents situated at Nedungulam village, Edappadi Taluk, Salem District. However, the said representation was not considered, as on date. Hence, this writ petition.

3. Mr.B.Pachaiyappan, learned Government's Counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submitted that the petitioner's representation dated 13.01.2026 will be considered within a period of six weeks.



4. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

5. Considering the limited relief sought by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, directs the second respondent to dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 13.01.2026, after issuing due notice to the petitioner as well as fifth respondent and other aggrieved persons, if any, on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of six (6) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. With the above direction, this writ petition stands disposed of. No costs.

08-07-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

VM



WEB COPY

WP No. 26157 of 2



KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.

VM

To

1. The District Collector,
Salem District.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Sankari, Salem District.
3. The Tahsildar,
Edappadi Taluk, Salem District
4. The Village Administrative Officer,
Nedungulam Village, Edappadi Taluk,
Salem District-637 107.

WP No. 26157 of 2026

08-07-2026