



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 13-07-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 18226 of 2026

Yella @ V Dinesh
No. C-47, Murugan Kovil Street,
Old Town, Vellore District

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep By,
The Inspector of Police
Virunchipuram Police Station,
Virinchipuram,
Vellore District.
Crime No.54 of 2026

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER:

This Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS, praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in **Crime No.54 of 2026** on the file of the Inspector of Police, Virinchipuram Police Station, Vellore.

For Petitioner(s):

M/S G.Vinodhkumar

For Respondent(s):

MS.R.S.INDIRA, GOVT.ADVOCATE
(CRL.SIDE)



ORDER

WEB COPY

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offences under Section **309(4) of BNS in Crime No.54 of 2026** on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused robbed the de facto complainant's Vivo V17 mobile phone, ₹600 in cash, and a motorcycle along with perfume products worth approximately ₹2,000. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and a false case has been foisted against the petitioner and that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. It was further submitted that this is the petitioner's second anticipatory bail application, and he is ready to cooperate with the investigation and abide by any stringent conditions imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the



petitioner has one previous case and has been regularly committing similar nature of offences. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions.


6. It is seen that the petitioner has one previous antecedent for the offence of attempt to murder (under Section 109 of the BNS), while the present case involves an offence of robbery. Further, from the submissions made by the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side), it is pellucid that the petitioner has misused the liberty granted by this Court on an earlier occasion. Considering the fact this is the case of robbery, if the petitioner is enlarged on bail, while he is having the previous criminal record, it would shocks the conscience of the society.

7. In view of the above, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

13-07-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MFA



To
WEB COPY

1. The Inspector of Police
Virunchipuram Police Station,
Virinchipuram,
Vellore District.
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 18226 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

MFA

CRL OP No. 18226 of 2026

13-07-2026