



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 07-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

WP CrI. No. 1574 of 2026

and

WPMP CrI.No.553 of 2026

WEB COPY

1. S.Karthikeyan
Residing at,
NO.008, Silver Oak Block,
Alliance Orchid Spring Apartment,
Korattur, Chennai.
2. Indhu
Residing at,
NO.008, Silver Oak Block,
Alliance Orchid Spring Apartment,
Korattur, Chennai.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Assistant Commissioner of Police
Kolathur, Greater Chennai Police,
Peravallur, Chennai.
2. The Inspector Of Police
Puzhal Police Station,
Puzhal, Chennai.
3. The Sub-Inspector Of Police
Puzhal Police Station, Chennai.
4. Mathan
Residing at,
NO.27/A, D.No.330, 1st Street,
Kamatchi Amman Nagar,
Narayanapuram, Pallikaranai,
Chennai.



5. Abirami

Residing at,
NO.27/A, D.No.330, 1st Street,
Kamatchi Amman Nagar,
Narayanapuram, Pallikaranai,
Chennai.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Writ Petition(CrI) filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ order or direction in the nature of Writ, directing respondents 1 to 3 conduct an enquiry and register an FIR against respondent 4 and 5 and their henchmen, based on the 1st petitioner's complaint dated 11.06.2026 registered as CSR No.0260 of 2026 issued by the 3rd respondent and pass any such further or other orders.

For Petitioner(s):

Mr.S.Sundaresan

For Respondent(s):

Mr.R.Ganesh Kumar

Counsel for Government of Tamilnadu (CrI.Side)
for R1 to R3

Assisted by Mohammed Imran A

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking a direction to respondents 1 to 3 to conduct an enquiry and register an FIR against respondents 4 and 5 and their henchmen based on the first petitioner's complaint dated 11.06.2026, registered as CSR No.0260 of 2026 by the third respondent.



2. The petitioners lodged a complaint against respondents 4 and 5 alleging that the first petitioner had borrowed a huge sum of money from them to the tune of Rs.4,46,54,000/-, out of which a substantial amount had already been repaid. According to the petitioners, despite such repayment, respondents 4 and 5, along with their henchmen, came to the petitioners' residence, demanded huge amounts with exorbitant interest, and threatened the petitioners with dire consequences. Hence, the petitioners lodged the complaint before the second respondent.

3. Pursuant to the complaint, the second respondent conducted an enquiry and found that there had been monetary transactions between the parties and that a sum of Rs.40,000/- was allegedly due from the petitioners. Taking note of the monetary dispute between the parties, the second respondent closed the complaint.

4. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submitted that the second respondent failed to take appropriate action against respondents 4 and 5, even though the allegations disclosed cognizable offences. He further submitted that the petitioners were harassed and compelled by the second respondent to settle the alleged dues payable to respondents 4 and 5. Merely because there are monetary transactions between the parties, the second respondent ought not to



have compelled the petitioners to settle the alleged dues. The dispute relating to the money transaction is essentially civil in nature.

WEB COPY

5. The learned counsel for the petitioners further submitted that the petitioners apprehend threat from Advocates while filing a private complaint before the Judicial Magistrate Court, Madhavaram.


6. In view of the above, the second respondent shall not interfere in the monetary transactions between the petitioners and respondents 4 and 5, as the same is essentially a civil dispute. If the petitioners are aggrieved by the closure of the complaint by the second respondent and apprehension, the petitioners are at liberty to file a private complaint before the Judicial Magistrate-I, Poonamallee, if they are so advised.

7. With the above directions, this Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

07-07-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

Vv



To

1. The Assistant Commissioner of Police
Kolathur, Greater Chennai Police,
Peravallur, Chennai.
2. The Inspector Of Police
Puzhal Police Station,
Puzhal,
Chennai.
3. The Sub-Inspector Of Police
Puzhal Police Station, Chennai.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

WP CrI. No. 1574 of 2



G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

VV

WP CrI. No. 1574 of 2026

07-07-2026