



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 17751 of 2026

Boobalan
S/o. Pandurangan,
No.3/582, 4th Street,
Ambethkar Nagar, Nandambakkam,
Kancheepuram District-600 069.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu, Rep by
The Inspector of Police
Tambaram CCB,
Crime No. 94 of 2026


..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under section 483 of BNSS, 2023 to enlarge the Petitioner/3rd Accused on bail in Crime No.94 of 2026 (On the file of Inspector of Police, Tambaram City-CCB) and pass such or other orders as this Honble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case and thus render Justice.

For Petitioner(s):	Ms.Kumari.S
For Respondent(s):	Mr.S.Yogaraja Sekar, Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 02.06.2026 for the alleged offences under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 & 120(B) of IPC, 1860 in Crime No.94 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, along with other accused fabricated a false and forged power of attorney and created fabricated documents, including a forged death certificate, for the purpose of cheating. Hence, this case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody since 02.06.2026. He further submitted that the petitioner is an auto driver by profession and is willing to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the Petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the Respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and strongly opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and perused the materials available on record.

6. From the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and the materials available on record, it is seen that the allegations pertain to




offences of forgery, cheating and fabrication of documents. The prosecution alleges that the original owner was impersonated, and a forged power of attorney was created and the subject property was subsequently encumbered on the strength of the forged documents. The learned counsel submitted that the petitioner did not play any major role in the commission of the alleged offences and that he is also a victim of the circumstances. It was further submitted that the petitioner is a poor auto driver by profession. Considering the fact that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since since 02.06.2026, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail on certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with **two sureties**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate-I, Kancheepuram Court**, and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety slip [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



WEB COPY



[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.


09-07-2026

DRL

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.



To


WEB COPY

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Kancheepuram Court.

2.The Inspector of Police
Tambaram CCB,

3.The Superintendent
Sub Jail, Kancheepuram.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 17751 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 17751 of 2026

09-07-2026