



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27-07-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

CRP No. 4276 of 2026

and

CMP No. 18671 of 2026

1. G.Babu
S/o. Govindaraj,
Thannerpanthalpalayam Post,
Modamangalam Village,
Kumarapalayam Taluk, Namakkal
District.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. M. Praveen
S/o. S.Muniraj, No.4/19/1,
Athimarathur, Kumarpalayam Taluk,
Namakkal District.


Respondent(s)

PRAYER

Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India to set aside the Fair and decretal order dated 18.04.2026 passed in IA No. 5 of 2025 in OS No. 81 of 2017 on the file of the Principal Subordinate Judge Thiruchengode and allow the application filed by the petitioner under Order XI Rule 16 CPC directing the respondent to produce the documents mentioned in the petition schedule.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. T.N. Rangesh Kanna

For Respondent(s): Notice dispensed with



ORDER


WEB COPY

This Civil Revision Petition has been filed, challenging the impugned order dated 18.04.2026 passed in I.A. No. 5 of 2025 in O.S. No. 81 of 2017 on the file of the Principal Sub Court, Tiruchengode.

2. In the aforesaid order, the application filed by the petitioner, who is the defendant in the suit, seeking for production of the documents mentioned in I.A. No. 5 of 2025 has been dismissed on the ground that without there being a pleading in the written statement with regard to those documents, the application cannot be entertained.

3. The suit has been filed by the respondent/plaintiff against the petitioner/defendant, seeking for recovery of money based on promissory notes.

4. The trial Court, after considering the application and the documents, has rightly held that there was no pleadings by the petitioner/defendant in the written statement with regard to those documents and therefore, the application filed by the petitioner/defendant cannot be entertained.




5. This Court has also perused and examined the written statement filed by the petitioner/defendant in the suit. The documents disclosed in I.A. No. 5 of 2025 filed by the petitioner/defendant were not pleaded in the written statement filed by the petitioner/defendant. Further, the suit has been filed by the respondent against the petitioner, seeking for recovery of money based on promissory notes.

6. This Court also fails to understand as to how the documents mentioned in I.A. No. 5 of 2025 are relevant for disproving the case of the respondent/plaintiff against the petitioner/defendant. The suit is of the year 2017 and the application was filed by the petitioner only in the year 2025 when the suit was in the part heard stage. The trial Court has rightly dismissed the said application.

7. This Court does not find any infirmity in the reasons given by the trial Court for dismissing the application in I.A. No. 5 of 2025 in O.S. No. 81 of 2017.

8. Accordingly, this Civil Revision Petition is dismissed. No Costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.




WEB COPY

9. Since no adverse orders are passed against the respondent, notice to the respondent is dispensed with by this Court.

27-07-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Internet: Yes
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
ab



CRP No. 4276 of 2026



To
The Principal District Court, Thiruchengode.

WEB COPY



WEB COPY

CRP No. 4276 of 2026



ABDUL QUDDHOSE J.

ab

**CRP No. 4276 of 2026
and CMP No. 18671 of
2026**

27-07-2026