



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CRL OP No. 16793 of 2026

1. Palanisamy
S/o. Ramasamy,
No.63, Kallumaduvu Thottam,
Perumalpalayam, Nagalur PO,
Anthiyur Taluk, Erode District,
Tamil Nadu-638502
2. Pachiyannan
S/o. Palaniappa Gounder,
No.64, Perumapalayam, Nagalur,
Anthiyur Taluk,
Erode District,
Tamil Nadu-638502
3. Sounteshwari
W/o. Pachiyannan,
No.64, Kallumadugu,
Thottam, Nagalur,
Anthiyur Taluk,
Erode District,
Tamil Nadu-638502

..Petitioners/
Rank not known

Vs

The State Rep By,
The Inspector of Police
Anthiyur Police Station,
Erode.
Crime No.141 of 2026

..Respondent/
Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, Act, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on Anticipatory



Bail in the event of arrest by the respondent police in Crime NO.141 of 2026 on the file of respondent police.

WEB COPY

For Petitioners:

Mr. P. Muthukumarasamy

For Respondent:


MS.R.S.INDIRA, GOVT.ADVOCATE
(CRL.SIDE)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest for the alleged offences under Section 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023 in Crime No.141 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. It is the case of the prosecution that there was a long-standing family land dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant; due to previous enmity, the defacto complainant had earlier lodged a complaint against the petitioners. On 21.06.2026, the petitioners allegedly abused the defacto complainant in filthy language, threatened him with dire consequences, and assaulted him, as a result of which he sustained injuries. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that they are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court and they are ready to co-operate for investigation.



Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and, upon instructions, submitted that no previous cases have been registered against the petitioner. She further submits that there are totally four accused in this case and that the present petitioners are arrayed as Accused Nos.1 to 3. She further submits that the injured is still undergoing treatment in the hospital. Therefore, she opposes to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. This Court has considered the submissions made on either side.

6. From the submissions of the learned Government Advocate, it is seen that the injured is still undergoing treatment in the hospital. In such circumstances, when the investigation is at a crucial stage and the injured has not yet been discharged from the hospital, this Court is of the view that it is premature to consider the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is **dismissed**.

JRS

02-07-2026



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 16793 of 2



C.KUMARAPPAN, J.

JRS

To

1. The II Judicial Magistrate, Bhavani.

2. The Inspector of Police

Anthiyur Police Station,

Erode.

Crime No.141 of 2026

3. The Public Prosecutor,

Madras High Court.

CRL OP No. 16793 of 2026

02-07-2026