



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 03-07-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

CRL OP No. 17062 of 2026

and

CRL.MP.Nos.11343 & 11344 of 2026

1. Dhanush @ Dhanush Raj
S/o Nataraj,
NO.18/46, Jothi Nagar,
Udaiyar Palaiyam,
Thammampatti, Salem.
2. Rohith @ Penikalapati Rohith
S/o Ravikumar,
NO.5/3, Aziz Mulk 4th Street,
Thousand Lights, Greams Road,
Chennai.
3. Sudharsan @ Sudharsanam
S/o Siva Kumar,
No.589/4, G.D.Road,
Kavin Nagar, Kolappalur,
Erode.
4. Madhan @ Madhan Kumar
S/o Selvam,
No.6/88A, Kalanngal Street,
Kadaladi, Thiruvannamalai.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State Rep By, The Inspector of Police
Maraimalai Nagar Police Station,
Chengalpattu District.
2. P.Yogaselvan
S/o Panaselvam,
M-404, Abode Valley Apartments,



Near SRM University,
Kattankulathur, Chengalpet.

CRL OP No. 17062 of 2



WEB COPY

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: This Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, praying to call for the records pertaining to the final report in CC.No.24 of 2025 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate II, Chengalpattu and quash the same.

For Petitioner(s): Mr. Manojkumar N

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Rajasekaran,
Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for R1
Mr.S.Vimal for R2

ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition seeks to quash the final report and all further proceedings in CC.No.24 of 2025, on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate II, Chengalpattu, for alleged offences under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(2) and 351 (3) of BNS, 2023, on the ground of compromise between the parties. The parties are present before the Court and, on examination, stand by their compromise and do not want the case to proceed further.

2. The broad contours of the High Court's power to exercise extraordinary jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. and Section 528 of the BNSS in respect of compromise of non-compoundable offences are laid down by the



Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Gian Singh -Vs- State of Punjab and Another (2012 10 SCC 303)* and other subsequent judgments.

WEB COPY


3. The gist of facts leading to the instant case are that that a wordy quarrel arose between the students of the Law College while they were residing in the hostel, on account of which the alleged offences came to be committed and the case was registered. The parties are now in their final year of college and have resumed their friendship. They are pursuing their studies peacefully and harmoniously.

4. Thus, it can be seen that this matter is a fit case for the exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction to quash the case on compromise.

5. Accordingly, this Crl.O.P. No.17062 of 2026 stands allowed. The final report and all further proceedings in CC.No.24 of 2025 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate II, Chengalpattu stands quashed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

03-07-2026

Neutral Citation: Yes/No
av



To

WEB COPY

1. The learned Judicial Magistrate II, Chengalpattu.
2. The Inspector of Police
Maraimalai Nagar Police Station,
Chengalpattu.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 17062 of 2



D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

av

**CRL OP No. 17062 of 2026
and
CRL.MP.Nos.11343 & 11344 of 2026**

03-07-2026