



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-07-2026

CORAM


WEB COPY

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY

CRL OP No. 17349 of 2026

1. M.V.Rajendran,
S/o. Venkatasamy,
No.A/8, Kalaingar Nagar,
Periyapalayam Village and post,
Uthukkotai Taluk,
Thiruvallur District.
2. J.Boojaraj
S/o. Janakiraman
No.340, 12th Street,
Saramangar Housing Board,
Viyasarpadi, Chennai-600 031.
3. S.Chezhiyan, S/o. Subramani,
No.34/3, Ayyodhiya Nagar,
Thiruvallikeni,
Chennai-600 005.
4. S.Suresh,
S/o. Selvaraj,
No.66, Bazar Street,
Sridevi Complex, Abhirami Stores,
Periyapalayam, Thiruvallur District.
5. P.Jayanthi
W/o. Prabhakaran,
No.18, B-Block, Kailasamudali Street,
Tondiarpet, Chennai-600 081.
6. N.Shanmugam
S/o. Nagamuthu,
No.41/9, Aiyodhiya Nagar,
No.34/3, Aiyodhiya Nagar,
Thiruvallikeni, Chennai-600 005.

..Petitioner(s)



Vs

1. The State rep by Inspector of Police
DCB Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.
Crime No.4 of 2019.

2. P.Ghanshyam,
S/o. Padmanabhan,
Plot.No.199 & 200,
Brindavan 3rd Street,
Srinivasa Nagar, Madipakkam,
Chennai-600 091.

Rep by its Power of Attorney
M.A.Muhammed Iqbal, M 63 Yrs
S/o.A.K.M.Abdul Khader,
No.57, Old No.30, Venkatesan Maistry Street,
Mannadi, Chennai-600 001.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, to call for the entire records which culminated in the proceedings in C.C.No.20 of 2024 on the file of the learned District Munsif-Cum-Judicial Magistrate Court, Uthukottai and quash the same and pass further suitable orders that may be deemed fit and proper in the circumstance of the case and thus render Justice.


For Petitioner(s):

Mr.L.Murali Krishnan

For Respondent(s):

Mr.R.Rajasekaran
Government Counsel (Crl. Side) for R1

Mr.Dayalu Sureshkumar for R2




ORDER

This Criminal Original Petition seeks to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.20 of 2024 on the file of the learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate Court, Uthukottai for alleged offences under Sections 420, 465, 468 & 471 of IPC, on the ground of compromise between the parties, the parties are present before the court and, on examination, stand by their compromise and do not want the case to proceed further.

2. The broad contours of the High Court's power to exercise extraordinary jurisdiction under Section 482 of the Cr.p.c. and Section 528 of the BNSS in respect of compromise of non-compoundable offences are laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *Gian Singh -Vs- State of Punjab and Another* (2012 10 SCC 303) and other subsequent judgments.

3. The gist of facts leading to the instant case are that when the de facto complainant claims ownership in respect of the property, the accused persons are said to have unauthorizedly obtained patta and executed further deeds. Subsequently, now the parties have reached compromise between them and all the deeds have been cancelled.

4. Thus, it can be seen that this matter is a fit case for the exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction to quash the case on compromise.




5. Accordingly, this Crl.O.P. No.17349 of 2026 stands allowed. The proceedings in C.C.No.20 of 2024 on the file of the learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate Court, Uthukottai stands quashed.

09-07-2026

Neutral Citation: No

KKN



To

1. The Inspector of Police
DCB Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.

2. The learned District Munsif-cum-
Judicial Magistrate Court,
Uthukottai.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 17349 of 2



D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY, J.

KKN

CRL OP No. 17349 of 2026

09-07-2026